

Council Proceedings of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana
June 24, 2014

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Shreveport, State of Louisiana was called to order by Chairman Joe Shyne at 3:08 p.m., Tuesday, June 24, 2014, in the Government Chambers in Government Plaza (505 Travis Street).

Invocation was given by Councilman Webb.
The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Councilman Corbin.

On Roll Call, the following members were Present: Councilmen Rose Wilson-McCulloch, Jeff Everson, Michael Corbin, Oliver Jenkins, Ron Webb, Joe Shyne, and Sam Jenkins. 7. Absent: None.

Motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to approve the minutes of the Administrative Conference, Monday, June 9, 2014 and Council Meeting, Tuesday, June 10, 2014. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

Awards, Recognition of Distinguished Guests, and Communications of the Mayor which are required by law.

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by the Mayor, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor?

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Shyne: Glad to see you back.

Mayor Glover: It's good to be back Mr. Chairman

Councilman Shyne: And I was hoping you brought me a bowl of fruit, or some candy or ice cream back to let me know you still love me.

Mayor Glover: Certainly love you Mr. Chairman, but the ice cream melted on the way back.

Councilman Shyne: Dale, you see that huh? Any excuse is a good excuse huh? Alright, alright. We're with you now Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Members, I'm going to ask Chief Mulford if he would step forward.

Chief Mulford: Mr. Chairman, Council Members, it's a privilege and an honor to be before you today, because we're going to take a little bit of time to recognize one of our citizen heroes. You know we graduated a class of recruits this last Thursday and one of the things we mentioned to those young men and women as they take the oath to be a Shreveport Firefighter, that taking that oath is actually one of the last heroic things they'll ever do. Because everything beyond that oath that they take is an expectation of our citizens and of the job, but when the citizen puts his or herself in a situation and directly impacts the outcome of someone's threat to danger, in our eyes,

that's an heroic act. When you take an ordinary person who does extraordinary things and overcomes that innate fear possibly that's inside all of us. So, if I could at this time, I would have Mr. Cordero Malone come forward.

Councilman Shyne: Chief, that's a young man.

Chief Mulford: I'm going to ask him if he's looking for a job too. Let me read real quick, kinda just a breakdown of what took place. On Saturday, June 7th of 2014 at approximately 7:00 p.m., Cordero Malone was driving past the Mill Brook Apartments at 611 E. Washington, Cordero saw a citizen trying to flay him down and he stopped and was advised that a child was at the bottom of the apartment swimming pool. Cordero, a former lifeguard immediately ran to the pool, jumped in and rescued 15 year old Jasmine Taylor from the bottom of the deep end of the pool. Cordero immediately began lifesaving CPR, that he had learned during his years serving as a Red Cross trained lifeguard at the David Raines pool from 2003-2004. He was able to resuscitate Jasmine to the point where she began shallow breathing by the time fire crews from Fire Station 5 arrived. They continued those efforts and transported her to the hospital. Today, Jasmine is doing well and has no ill effects of what could have been a tragedy. The selfless quick action that Cordero took is the main reason that Jasmine Taylor is still with us today. It is due to these heroic actions that we are honored to award Cordero Malone the Shreveport Fire Department Citizen Lifesaving Award. I'm going to read his certificate right quick and there's a quote by Ambrose (Inaudible) Courage is not the absence of fear, rather the judgment that something else is more important than fear. The Shreveport Fire Department presents this lifesaving award to Cordero Malone for his selfless and courageous act of rescuing Jasmine Taylor from the bottom of the pool and providing lifesaving cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Your extraordinary efforts resulted in saving the life of a young woman in reuniting her with her family. Presented on this day, June 24, 2014, Fire Chief Ronald C. Mulford.

Councilman Shyne: Mama, come on up so you can get on the picture.

Chief Mulford: Also as a token of our appreciation, I'm going to give you this 'Challenge Coin', that was minted for the Shreveport Fire Department. Keep that with you and I don't know what you're doing in your career, but if you feel like you got a (inaudible), give me a call.

Councilman Shyne: Let his mom come up.

Mayor Glover: She's on injured reserve right now Mr. Shyne.

Chief Mulford: And I want to say that we spoke to Ms. Taylor, Jasmine's mother today and she wanted us to reassure everyone that they couldn't be here today, we tried to get 'em here, but she said, it's like it never happened. She said there's no ill effects, no deficits, no damage, she said it's like it never happened.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Malone, you are truly a hero here? You are an angel. Just continue to do what you did. You say you learned that at the David Raines?

Mr. Malone: Yeah, I took the class, that Red Cross class like 10 years ago.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, now I want the Mayor to know this. Mr. Mayor, I opened that pool. I was the first manager. I worked at Linear, I didn't get a chance to teach the mayor, I got away before he got there. But that's why we need these pools in the different communities. You saved somebody's life. That's was God given. We appreciate you for that. Thank you very much.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, members of the Council, I won't repeat any of what the Chief has just laid out, but without question, this is a young man that is worthy of being honored and being recognized for his heroics. And I did not know the back story with regard to the David Raines pool. I can say this, that Mr. Shyne, I think maybe you had moved on as well by the time I took swimming lessons.

Councilman Shyne: But now your daddy helped me.

Mayor Glover: Absolutely. I remember.

Councilman Shyne: (Inaudible) Cooper Road, because they did not have a pool. Your daddy and Hersey Wilson.

Mayor Glover: (Inaudible) Collier, and a host of other leaders from throughout the neighborhood were all integral parts of helping to provide that leadership. I have the privilege of learning how to swim at the David Raines pool. And (inaudible) of this young man was a lifeguard there and it was that time spent that put him in a position to be able to respond and to save the life of young Ms. Taylor is something I'm very thankful for. I'm also thankful Mr. Shyne that thanks to the generosity of the Hirsch Foundation, that we were teaching still swimming lessons at the David Raines pool and pools all across this city. And that hopefully, we'll be able to get Ms. Taylor to get into one of those programs and to learn some of those lifesaving skills herself. But Mr. Malone in recognition of all that the Chief has just referenced with regard to your heroic, I'm honored to present to you on behalf of the City of Shreveport, the Mayor's Award of Excellence for your courageous deed and your unselfish service. So God bless you and thank you.

Mr. Cordero Malone: Thank you, and appreciate it.

Councilman Webb: I just want to say one thing Mr. Chairman. You know the Lord works in mysterious ways, and it was no accident that 10 years ago, he fixed your heart to take that course and the Lord placed you at the right place at the right time. We give Him the glory and the honor for that.

Councilman Shyne: Alright, thank you now. Come back again.

Chief Mulford: I'm gone see if the Lord wants him to be placed somewhere else.

Councilman Shyne: We're gone have to get Sammy up there and teach him how to swim. Pastor Mays, you might have baptize Sammy there.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, also want to take a moment to as chief referenced (inaudible) and we had to wait a class, I believe the 60th class of the Shreveport Fire Department. I believe we also had the 59th? The 70th class of the 60th and 70th classes respectively of the Shreveport Fire Department and the Shreveport Police Department. Want to thank all of those courageous young men and young women who have decided to commit themselves to a life of public service, and in line with something I always say when I have an opportunity to be able to attend those graduations it was something that was said first by Fiorello Laguardia, the mayor of New York, back around the term of the 20th Century, when he said that the last heroic act that firefighters and police officers ever commit is when they take the oath, and become full-fledged firefighters or police officers, because all of the other acts that they will engage in, in the years to come just end up being a part of the job. So my thanks to all of those heroes who have accepted the challenge in the great burden of being a part of our first responding community. Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, I also want to remind you that this is going to be the last meeting that we will have prior to the 4th of July. We will have our 6th Annual Independence Day Festival Celebration along the Shreveport Riverfront, sponsored again this year by KTBS and KPXJ TV Stations, along with the good folks of Brookshire's Food and Pharmacy. What's even better news Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, that this will be the last 4th of July that we will celebrate without the million plus dollars' worth of bathrooms and other improvements that will be constructed within that Riverview Park area between now and next summer. So we look forward to welcoming hopefully what will be throngs of folks down to celebrate our nation's independence. Also looking forward to having a great time and hearing all of the great music sharing in what I know will be some great food and celebrate exactly what it means to be an American. With that Mr. Chairman, turn it back over to you.

Councilman Shyne: Thank you Mr. Mayor. City Council Members. Sam, I'm going to start down with you.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I've got two now if I can Mr. Chairman. First, I want to address those residents who reside on Huston Street, a Queensborough Neighborhood street where some shooting and gun play took place there last night. I was in the neighborhood very this morning. I already engaged people who were already up and about. I intend to return to the neighborhood again, to try to knock on a few doors and talk with some of you. I want you to know I'm very concerned about what occurred in the neighborhood last night. I'm equally concerned because I believe there may be some citizens who know some information and maybe you're afraid to pass it on. I want you to know, you know do not be afraid. There are ways and means of getting information to the Police Department who I do want to commend for their rapid response and the only person I did talk to, they were very commendable. The response and the investigation thus far, but this is what I'm saying. If you know things, if you're hearing things, this is information that our police department can use. Do not be afraid. You can certainly call me, and I will do whatever I can to connect you. Just call the police department and tell 'em you've got information and you're concerned about it coming back to you. Nobody wants to put you in harm's way. So just hear from me that the matter is being looked into. I think it's very unfortunate. We got another summer that's coming and for some reason it appears that these things tend to just want to occur whenever the summer months arrive. But just know that it's very important that you pass on whatever information that you have. And I would not be saying this if I were not told that some people know some things, and just a little afraid to say something. If you do not pass on the information, you're liable to be victimized again, maybe by the same people. There are ways to deal with this. Our part is to pass on the information, leave everything else in the hands of the police. I did hear some comments, I'm saying leave the law enforcement in the hands of the police. It's not our job to go out and seek any kind of retribution. I certainly want to send my prayers and my thoughts out to the victims and citizens whose homes were victimized and those individuals who may be a little afraid right now. Just know it is a matter that's being looked into. So I just wanted to use this media. I will be back into the community again, if not later on this evening, then tomorrow, depending on what the weather allows us to do. Okay? Thank you for that Mr. Chairman. If I may, I would like to make a motion to suspend the rules to see if we could take up Resolution No. 108 at this time. If I can get a second

Councilman O. Jenkins: I'll second it.

Motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to suspend the rules and consider Resolution No. 108 of 2014. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I would ask Ms. Scroggins and her family to come forward and the Porters come forward. I believe the Clerk will read the resolution and I will join them at the podium.

The Clerk read the following:

RESOLUTION NO. 108 OF 2014

A RESOLUTION RECOGNIZING TIYA "TY" SCROGGINS OF SCROGGINS CONSULTING, LLC FOR BEING SELECTED MINORITY BUSINESS CHAMPION FOR

**2014 BY THE SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION (SBA) LOUISIANA DIVISION,
AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.**

BY: COUNCILMAN SAM JENKINS

WHEREAS, Tiya “Ty” Scroggins, MBA, owner of Scroggins Consulting, LLC, has been selected as the Minority Business Champion for 2014 by the Small Business Administration (SBA) Louisiana Division; and

WHEREAS, the announcement of the award to Tiya “Ty” Scroggins was made by Louisiana Economic Development (LED) and the U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA) at a private reception at the Governor’s Mansion on May 14, 2014; and

WHEREAS, at the reception, LED Secretary Stephen Moret stated that small business leaders, like Ms. Scroggins, play a vital role in Louisiana’s economy; and

WHEREAS, Tiya “Ty” Scroggins was chosen Minority Business Champion for 2014 because she has fulfilled a commitment to the advancement of small business opportunities for minority business owners; she has volunteered, beyond her business and professional responsibilities, to advance minority small business interests within the state; she has demonstrated accomplishments in advising minority small business groups of opportunities within the overall business community, and she has provided professional services to the minority small business community in a managerial capacity; and

WHEREAS, Scroggins Consulting, LLC is a consulting firm, located in Shreveport and New Orleans, specializing in administrative management, project management, and training in an array of services that include: business development, technical assistance, public outreach, leadership development, and other services; and

WHEREAS, Tiya “Ty” Scroggins is a native of Shreveport and a graduate of Woodlawn High School; she holds a BS in Biology and an MBA degree, and her first book will be released in August 2014.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVE by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due regular and legal session convened, that Tiya “Ty” Scroggins is recognized and congratulated for being named the 2014 Minority Business Champion by the Small Business Administration Louisiana District, and for her demonstrated successes in helping small minority businesses throughout the State of Louisiana.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution shall be executed in duplicate originals with one original presented to Tiya TY” Scroggins, and the other resolution filed in perpetuity in the office of the Clerk of Council for the City of Shreveport.

Mr. Thompson: This resolution has been signed by all seven Council Members.

Ms. Scroggins: I am so elated and thank you Councilman Jenkins and the rest of the Council for bestowing upon me this recognition. I am a product of Shreveport and very proud of it. I left and went to college when I was 17 and came back because of Hurricane Katrina, and it was a good decision. Thank you for focusing on economic development and having emphasis on small businesses, specifically minority businesses. It’s an honor. I would like to personally thank my family.

Councilman Shyne: Introduce them.

Ms. Scroggins: This is my mother who drives me a lot. The young lady in the green is my assistant, she’s also my road dog, I put about 40,000 miles on her car, my grandmother Ros Whitney. Her name is Lerline Rason, product of Mooretown. I represent Hollywood, and my sister, Eureka Scroggins. She says I know what I do, because she taught me. And the other young lady that’s walking around is my aunt Sharhonda Whitaker. Thank you guys.

Councilman Everson: And I must just add that this weekend, I see that Ty does not rest on her laurels, I saw her out at probably multiple events, but I happened to see her at the Louisiana Start-Up where a number of young entrepreneurs were coming to present their ideas and learn about entrepreneurialism, and she was right there at the front of line proving why she deserves this honor. So, it's great to see here today.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt Resolution No. 108 of 2014. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

And if it's alright with the members of the Council, since we have the rules suspended at this point, I'd like to bring up two of our fine attorneys here in town. And Mr. J. Whitney Pesnell, and I think Mr. Tom Arceneaux would like to speak also. Tom, you want to come up and just kinda take your seat there between two fine ministers. One is of a Muslim faith, and the other is of the Christian faith.

Mr. Thompson: Fully covered.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, you're fully covered Tom. Now Tom is Catholic gentlemen, in case y'all - - -

Mr. Pesnell: Did y'all want to hear from me on what we have on the agenda for today?

Councilman Shyne: Please.

Mr. Pesnell: Okay. What we have here Councilman Shyne and other members of the Council, ladies and gentlemen is a motion for a re-hearing on and reconsideration of the Council's motion to substitute and vote approving the application by Larkin, the development at Railsback, L.L.C, that subdivides Unit 6 of the Esplanade Subdivision. The Council adopted the motion to modify and then voted to reverse the MPC and approve the application as modified on June 10, 2014. We filed our request for a re-hearing and reconsideration of those matters on June 17, 2014, one week later. I think within two or three days after that, Mr. Arceneaux an opposition, a reply. I have filed into the records today a response to Mr. Arceneaux's opposition, here's a copy of that. The matter is really very simple. We're requesting a re-hearing for essentially two reasons. First, we had received information that some of the members of the council may have been involved in private meetings or conferences in the week or weeks leading up to the consideration of that matter on June 10, 2014. We believe that there may have been violations of the open meetings law in connection with those conferences or meetings if they were held, we do not know at this time, whether or not they were. We received information to that affect. We've (inaudible) a public records request to the mayor and the city for copies of documents or records that we think may substantiate that. We haven't received a reply yet. But if that took place, it may call into question with validity of the Council's actions and votes on June 10, 2014. Now I need to emphasize, we received information, but all we have right now is information. We're in the process of investigating that. But we do think that it's something that the Council should be aware of and that they should have the opportunity to consider. The second ground of our motion for a re-hearing is the fact that we do not think the motion to substitute that was offered and adopted approved by the Council was sufficient to protect the potential corridors or routes for 3132. We made that point at the time, but we still think it's valid, and that consequently the approval of the application is still inadequate to protect the public's interest in the completion of the Inner Loop Extension project, i.e., 3132. We think those are very important matters to the city. We do think that if there's any question about it, that's something the Council should look at and reconsider because of the

importance of the issues. And that's what we have requested in our request for a re-hearing and consideration. There are additional details in the letter that we filed. You should have received copies of those. Now I will say in response to our request for a re-hearing, Mr. Arceneaux filing an opposition, I don't want to put words in his mouth, so I won't purport to speak for him, but my understanding of the opposition is simply this. They are arguing that the Council does not have the authority to entertain or grant a motion for re-hearing or to reconsider its earlier actions because it's not provided for in the Charter of the City of Shreveport or in the ordinances in the municipal code. We disagree with that. The Council is given jurisdiction and authority to entertain appeals from the MPC on applications for approvals for Subdivisions in Section 82-28 of the Municipal Code. It is true that it does not specifically mention or refer to re-hearings or reconsideration. But we believe that that grant a jurisdiction is a plenary one, and they enable the Council to do anything in its discretion, thinks is appropriate or advisable to properly hear and resolve appeals. We think that extends to and includes any motions for re-hearings or reconsideration where they are warranted or appropriate. We believe that they are. We believe that the authority for that exist under 82:28 and we've teetered those matters to the council for its consideration. That's really all that I have to say. If any of you have any questions, I'll be happy to entertain. Oh, one other thing. We did ask Mr. Thompson to note this on the agenda, and I think he did under item 13, the subject of the Clerk's Report.

Councilman Shyne: He did.

Mr. Pesnell: We don't have any problems with the Council taking up the question today as to whether or not a motion for re-hearing or reconsideration should be granted. I think that's a question that's primarily a legal and discretionary question that the Council can properly determine today. If the Council does agree to grant a re-hearing or to reconsider the matter, we do think that that would need to be put off for a little while so that we could get a response to our public records request and get our information and present it before a decision on the merits is made. But the issue now I guess is whether or not a re-hearing or reconsideration should be granted. We think that can be properly taken up now. In the event that it is granted, we think that another hearing is going to have to be scheduled further off to allow us to do the discovery and get the information documents before that hearing is held. And that's all that I have. If you have any questions, I'd be happy to entertain them.

Councilman Shyne: We do. Councilman?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Do you dispute the information, or disagree - - - I don't know what the legal terms is that we got from Ms. Glass and our Clerk of Council in regards to the re-hearing yesterday?

Mr. Pesnell: Well to be honest Councilman, I don't know what that information was. I'm not familiar with that. I don't know what Ms. Glass briefed you on.

Councilman Shyne: Let me just break in one minute. I was just going to ask Ms. Glass to give the opinion Councilmen as soon as - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay. I mean and the only reason I asked that, I know you weren't in here, I just didn't know if somebody on your team didn't listen to. I mean I know some of them definitely listened to the meeting yesterday. And it's a little bit of a stretch if you told me nobody heard it, but (inaudible)

Mr. Pesnell: I intended to be here myself, and was unable to do it and I apologize for that.

Councilman O. Jenkins: But did anybody dispute it as far as you know on your team who listened to it, disagree with the opinion that she offered yesterday?

Mr. Pesnell: No sir, I was unaware that - - - it doesn't surprise me that the City Attorney's office would answer questions or brief the Council on a matter like that, but I (inaudible), much less what was said.

Councilman Shyne: I want her to give it to you so that you can hear it directly and you don't have to get it second hand.

Mr. Pesnell: Sure, okay.

Councilman Shyne: Julie, you want to - - -

Ms. Glass: Well, I believe what I told the Council yesterday was that in looking at both the Subdivision Ordinance, and State statutes, I did not find anything that would prevent the Council as a legal matter from reconsidering that vote, however under Robert's Rules of Order, which are adopted as a part of the City Council's rules, re-consideration of a vote on a later meeting date would be contrary to the rules of order. The rules of order can be suspended with at least five votes, and it could be done that way. We also talked about the - - - what Robert's Rules of Order says about when reconsideration is appropriate. Such as that it should be used when a decision was made hastily or, when additional information has come to light. Also that it should not be used when something has been done that cannot be undone. Basically or is impossible to undo. So, that's basically what I told them.

Councilman Shyne: Did you understand?

Mr. Pesnell: Yes I did Councilman, Mr. Chairman. And if I might be permitted to respond, I agree with Ms. Glass, but there's nothing in the Charter or the code that prevents this Council from granting a re-hearing or reconsidering the matter. It does not expressly say or provide that they can, but I believe that's inclusive in Article 82:28, and I agree with her 100% on that. As to the point of Robert's Rules of Order, I must confess that I'm not familiar with the local rules of the courts, and I did review the review the rules of the Council, but what I came today I'm not familiar with Robert's Rules of Order. She may be entirely correct about that. I'm not in a position to say one way or the other. But everything that she says sounds reasonable to me and I would say that under the rules as related to us by Ms. Glass that the Council could properly consider this. Now I would not suggest that this matter was hastily considered. The Council gave us three hours on June 10th to make our positions known, there was much debate, much information was presented. I don't think that anybody was hasty. But new information has come to light in connection with the possibility of private meetings or private meetings or conferences in advance that may have affected or influenced the outcome and as I said, it is an important issue and we don't think, and we know that this at the time, and I know the Council was aware of it, but we don't think that the motion to substitute what was offered was sufficient to protect the public interests of the corridors or routes. And the corridors of which I mentioned and specifically are the three that were not included in the Stage 0 study. Okay? So does that answer your question Councilman Jenkins?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Just one other part that you mentioned yesterday and I can't remember whether it's from Robert's Rules, or if it was from another procedure, but it has to be somebody on the prevailing side, to make that motion right? Is that what you said? Okay.

Councilman Shyne: So that means that you or either Councilman Sam Jenkins would have to - - - or Mike Corbin (inaudible) would have to make that motion.

Councilman Webb: Joe and I should have voted yes, then we could make that motion.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah. Let me say this before we close this out, we'll bring you right back, let me hear from Councilman, I'm sorry. Tom, I'm so used to calling you Councilman Attorney Tom Arceneaux, and then you know we'll, you know before we close it out. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Arceneaux: (400 Texas Street, Suite 1400) Lady and gentlemen, I represent the Larkin entity, that Mr. Larkin. I think Ms. Glass is 100% right, your rules, Section 12 of your rules deals with appeals from the MPC. It does not provide for any re-hearing. The re-hearing is really not a re-hearing. Under Robert's Rules, members of the assembly like yours, have under a limited circumstances the right to reconsider in a timely fashion, a motion. Ms. Glass, I think properly laid out those rules. I am familiar with them. I dealt with them for eight years on the Council, and I have them right here on my phone. She's 100% right. If, first of all, I do not believe that there were any meetings that violated the Open Meetings Law. The seven of you sitting here know whether that happened or not, regardless of the result of any public records request. I happen to believe it likely did not happen. It certainly didn't happen in my presence or in the presence of my client. So, what is being done here is frankly, five of you are being slandered or accused of something based on rumor or supposition that likely did not occur. I'm sorry that someone has put you in that position to impugn your integrity, and your ability to conduct business in a lawful way. There are remedies for that, but then someone has to actually sign pleadings that say there's a basis for it. And that remedy is to go to court. Over that and they have 60 days to do that from the date of your meeting. You know whether or not that occurred. If it didn't occur, there's no reason to re-hear it. We've been through this many times with you and there's plenty of adequate time for you to consider this. The matter ultimately will head to court. Let it go there. I'll be glad to answer any questions.

Councilman Shyne: Any questions? There are no questions. Mr. Arceneaux, thank you.

Councilman Webb: I had one for Tom, but I'm wanting to ask the Administration, I know that he made a comment that he hadn't heard any response from his request. What kind of timeline - - - they haven't even responded that they've received that request?

Mr. Sibley: I'd like to ask Ms. Scott to come forward, she handles all the public records requests and does it in accordance with the procedure, so she can outline that for you.

Mayor Glover: And Mr. Webb, I think it's probably appropriate to note, prior to Ms. Glass speaking but the request is coming I think, in similar fashion to some of the previous ones and that is a previous broad and sweeping request that obviously will take considerable time and resources to respond to, but also Mr. Chairman have some comments to make in line what I perceive to be potentially to be the source of the information that Mr. Pesnell is referencing and it will be a very easy question to answer and address if one is open to receiving the actual information.

Ms. Scott: Mr. Webb and members of the Council, the Mayor is correct. We are attempting to gather the information in response to the request. As you may be aware, part of what we do in responding, because of the request asks for information communication documents regarding meetings, conversations had, with the Council Members, with the Administration, with MPC Members, with persons in the City Attorney's office, regarding Esplanade Unit 6, one of the sources of information that we check are emails. So we've asked our IT Department to start doing the email search. They're in the process of doing that. The timing of this request though is such that the IT Department is in the process of changing over our email system or our email server, I believe is how Dan referred to it. So because of the converging from one system to another, its taking a little bit longer time. He's also had some technical difficulties in accessing that information. But we are working on it. In addition to checking emails, we're also checking for writings, memos, letters or any other written communication that may have been done. I have actually started contacting some of you on last week to ask a series of questions that I've put together in attempting to respond to the public records request. And those questions have to do with the very subject of whether or not there were any meetings that you may have participated in, whether or not there was written communication or anything else that is a tangible document that

we can provide in response to the public records request. So we are working on the request. It is our intent to provide it as quickly as possible even if we have to do it in terms of rolling production. That means as we get information, we provide it. Such that we will ultimately be able to respond with all information that we have to all the questions that have been asked.

Councilman Webb: Okay, I appreciate it. I just was curious (inaudible) on rather the impression when he said that, that we didn't even know if y'all had received it.

Ms. Scott: No sir. We did receive it. As a matter of fact, I forwarded a copy of it to all of you by email on the day it was received.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor?

Mayor Glover: The way in which I think you can possibility look to try and get some insight or perspective in terms of what is the basis of what Mr. Pesnell has I believed has characterized as the first basis for requesting the appeal information that they have received indicating that there was something amidst with regards to the process. Now Mr. Arceneaux has stated that only you all as Council Members know whether or not any of you all assembled in a way that is not in line with the Charter and the laws of the State of Louisiana, but part of what I have read and unfortunately it comes from the blogosphere, is the accusation is that prior to the meeting of two weeks ago that I played some role in bringing together some gathering of Council Members for the purpose of coming up with the strategy to basically facilitate the outcome with regards to this matter. And that that meeting supposedly took place on the 2nd floor in my office. Now for those folks who care are concerned to actually look at what is already right now within the public record. You would know that there was a properly posted announcement of a meeting to be held on Tuesday, the 10th of June on the 2nd floor in the large conference room in the Mayor's office. That was a meeting of the Industrial Development Board that is the basis of Resolution 109 that is on the agenda for today. I think it is important to know that there was not one single Council Member in attendance at that 11:00 meeting. Although certainly you all would have been welcome and anyone else from the general public would have been welcomed as well. But we met for some several hours prior to the start of the Council Meeting of June 10th. Wrapped up, and I came here and we conducted this meeting. But from some of the information I have read again on various blogs and other places of comment and some things that have been passed along directly to me, the accusation that I think serves as the basis of Point No. 1 that I think was offered by Mr. Pesnell, that there was a gathering of myself and others along with you all as Council Members prior to the beginning of the Council Meeting. Again, as I stated for anybody who would take time to notice, you would know that it was properly posted. The agenda was detailed. It involved no business with regard to 3132. It was specifically a gathering of the members of the City's Industrial Development Board. But unfortunately I think it was that particular set of circumstances that served as the basis upon which this very broad wide-sweeping accusation has been made. So I wanted to offer that Mr. Shyne, members of the Council into the public record. And I'm certainly sure that a part of what will be included in Ms. Scott's response will be that the hours prior to the Council Meeting of June 10th, that there was a gathering, but that it was of an entirely different nature than 3132. Thank you Mr. Shyne.

Councilman Shyne: Ah, Counselor, did you want to respond to that right quick?

Mr. Pesnell: Very briefly if I might Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to make a couple of points. First of all, we haven't made any accusations against any members of the Council or tried to impugn anyone's honesty or integrity. We have some information, we think that may have violated the Open Meetings Law, that doesn't necessarily mean that anyone did anything dishonest or with any kind of ill motive. Those things can occur innocently. What we have done as we said, we received information and we're investigating it. We've asked for public records and

information. But we haven't named any names and we haven't made any accusations because we don't know yet if there is a sufficient basis for doing that. We're investigating it to find out. So I don't think that Mr. Arceneaux is quite fair when he accuses us of making accusations or impugning anybody's honesty or integrity. Second, with regard to the public records request, it was sent I believe and I believe about a week ago. Ordinarily public entities are supposed to respond within 72 hours. But particularly with our request is admittedly very expansive and very broad. Because we don't know what transpired or what may have transpired. And so we have cast a wide net. I know that it takes time for the City including the City Attorney's office to review that and gather that information. I have called Ms. Scott's office once or twice, I've left messages. I hadn't yet been able to speak to her, but I don't think they've been delaying unreasonably yet. I was trying to get in touch with her to try and work that out and get that done. With respect to what may or may not be in the blogosphere, I don't know about that. There may well have been a meeting in the Mayor's office that had nothing to do with these matters. If that's the case, we're certainly not interested in that. That doesn't have a bearing on Larkin's Railsback application or 3132 or any of the things that we're interested in. But we can't find out without asking the questions. There may have been other events or other meetings or other conversations that transpired within that so, our request is admittedly very broad. I don't know it's possible that that may have given rise to some suspicion by some persons that the meeting was improperly held. I don't think that's what we're talking about. That's not what our information is, and as I said, we received information. We don't know yet whether or not it's accurate or whether it's correct. We're trying to find out about that now. I just wanted to clear the record by stating that. And I would say that we welcome Ms. Scott and the Administration in connection with public records requests in the past, and I think that they've tried to be forthcoming and give us what they have and what was available, and hope they do the same here and when they have, we'll be in a position to address the merits. And the only other thing that I would say, and this goes to really I guess the discussion of Robert's Rules of Order by Ms. Glass. If it's improper to have a hearing and then have another, then maybe we need to postpone this decision until we can get the documents and do everything at one time. That's one possible solution to that conundrum. That's all that I can offer right now Mr. Councilman - - - Mr. Chairman, I'm sorry.

Councilman Shyne: Right. Any questions? Any comments?

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman?

Councilman Shyne: Yes.

Mayor Glover: If you just give me one brief second. And I'm going to quote from a local website forward-now.com. It says "*Newsbreak. Earlier today, a totally reliable source called to tell me that this nastiness was afoot, engineered by Glover. A nearly secret meeting was underway about mid-day, ginned-up by Glover, run by his (not our) city attorney, and including an MPC staff member who should not have been there. Notably, too, some Council staff and members. The purpose of the meeting was said to be precisely the motion offered-up hours later by Corbin. Importantly, one Councilman who ran those traps for me reported that the Council's Clerk denied – with 100% certitude – that any such commode-filling ever occurred.*"

Mr. Thompson: You didn't read the rest of it.

Mayor Glover: *I can't prove it (yet), but this thing today had more than a mere whiff of something much lousier" the part I didn't read "He lied #2".* (Inaudible) that's a reference to Mr. Corbin I guess.

Councilman Corbin: I believe it is.

Mayor Glover: *"I can't prove it (yet), but this thing today had more than a mere whiff of something much lousier than most of us care to wonder about."*

Mr. Pesnell: All I can say is that all sorts of things on the internet, neither I nor the Pesnell Law Firm offered that or (inaudible), all we've done is submit a public records request seeking records and information. They're not limited to the day of the hearing by the way, I think they cover the two week period leading up to the hearing on June 10, 2014.

Councilman Shyne: Alright, I'm going to end this discussion right here, and if we have no more questions or comments from the Council, I think to the Council, Ms. Glass made it clear that basically we would need a motion from the prevailing side at this point to schedule a re-hearing. And I'm going to ask if there is a motion from the prevailing side. If not, I'm going to say at this particular point, we're going to put this discussion to rest. And we'll have to look at it later. Now do we have a motion from the prevailing side to reschedule the vote? Marvin, I've been accused of moving too quick. So I don't want to be accused of moving too quick again. Is there a motion? If not, gentlemen, we appreciate it and we'll look at this topic of discussion at a later time.

Mr. Pesnell: Thank you Mr. Chairman, Lady and gentlemen of the Council, we appreciate your courtesy and your attention.

Councilman Shyne: Thank you. Okay, we will move back into our agenda. Mr. Mayor, I take that you're through with your communications?

Mayor Glover: Yes, with the exception Mr. Shyne, I understand that there is information upon within the Council to add Mr. Furlong's appointment to today's agenda. If that is in fact the case, we would make that request at this point. Assistant City Engineer.

Councilman Shyne: Hold on just a minute. Mr. Thompson, I think we'll have to wait until we add that Mr. Mayor - - -

Mayor Glover: At the appropriate time.

Councilman Shyne: Alright.

Councilman Everson: As a questions I think yesterday, Art did they say we could do it today?

Mr. Thompson: Well, if the Council suspends the rules and adds it, we can.

Councilman Everson: So, if our rules are suspended right now?

Councilman Shyne: Why don't we just wait until we get to where we add legislation? And we'll suspend the rules and we'll add it at that particular time. You know since we'll be adding things. Why don't we wait until we get to that point Mr. Mayor, and you can add it at that particular time.

Awards and recognition of distinguished guests by City Council members, not to exceed fifteen minutes.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, Ms. Farnell? Where is Ms. Farnell? Please. We'll come back to our agenda.

Councilman Everson: Oh, I'm sorry. Actually I think we suspended the rules after Sam's comments, but I did have some communication from Council. Can I make those at this point?

Councilman Shyne: Sure. Ms. Farnell, if you'll just - - -

Councilman Everson: Won't take too long, if you'll stay right there. I did just want a similar vein as with Councilman Sam Jenkins said earlier, express my thoughts and prayers and concerns for the people at the Oak Meadows Apartments. There was a shooting that occurred there yesterday. I know that one of our favorite Council Guests, Sammy Mears is a resident over there and I know that that is a big concern for the residents of the community. So we want to express our concerns and thank the police for being on the scene quickly, and similarly would like to invite anybody with any information about what occurred to not feel any hesitancy in coming

forward to the police to share that information. As it is an ongoing investigation. Also do want to recognize and thank the Fire Department for their quick response to two alarm fire that happened earlier today in the South Highland neighborhood. A house that sort of overlooks Betty Virginia Park, it's very close to Betty Virginia Park. Many people saw this fire across the city. It caught up very quick and you may have seen it on the news. A tragic loss of what looks to be a very, very large structure that was being remodeled. There are not a lot of details right now, but I do know that some of the firemen who were there were working in very threatening conditions, and it was a very difficult fire to get to as it started on sort of the top of the structure which is a dangerous place to fight a fire. So we appreciate their quick response, and certainly will look to hear more about that situation (inaudible), but it is a tragedy and we want to acknowledge and appreciate the fire department for addressing it as quickly as possible.

Councilman Shyne: Thank you Councilman.

Communications of the Mayor relative to city business other than awards and recognition of distinguished guests.

Reports

Property Standards Report (*Res. 7 of 2003*)

Councilman Shyne: Ms. Farnell, please. Anyone have any questions for Ms. Farnell? Thank you. Okay.

Revenue Collection Plan & Implementation Report (*Res. No. 114 of 2009*)

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Sibley, did you have any remarks?

Mr. Sibley: No Mr. Chairman, we'll do an updated Revenue Collections Report at the next Council Meeting.

Councilman Shyne: Okay.

Surety Bond Forfeitures Report (*Res 238 of 2010*)

Master Plan Committee Report (*Res. No. 132 of 2012*)

Councilman Shyne: Ms. Sanders? Did you have any - - -

Mr. Sibley: I don't see her Mr. Chairman, but if I recall from yesterday, she indicated she had nothing to report during this session.

Councilman Shyne: That's true, but you know how it is with ladies, sometimes they say they don't have anything, and she may take 20 minutes.

Mr. Sibley: When she comes back in, we'll see if she has anything to offer.

Mayor Glover: She was here and I think she stepped out with a call.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, that'll be fine.

Public Hearings:

Councilman Shyne: Okay Mr. Thompson, no public hearings, right?

Mr. Thompson: We have two matters.

Councilman Shyne: I'm sorry, you're exactly right. Administration?

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Resolution No. 96 of 2014:** A resolution affirming the City of Shreveport's endorsement of Home Federal Bank to participate in the benefits of the Louisiana Restoration Tax Abatement Program and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.
2. **Resolution No. 97 of 2014:** A resolution affirming the City of Shreveport's endorsement of Petroleum Building, LLC to participate in the benefits of the Louisiana Restoration Tax Abatement Program, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Mr. Sibley: Mr. Chairman, on behalf of the Administration, we have Ms. Ebony Mapp from Community Development who will present on the progress.

Ms. Mapp: Good afternoon everyone. Just to give you a brief summary of what that application was about. It was approved November 12, 2013. Total Project Costs: \$540,000 Key Features of the application included retention of 17 jobs, added 4 permanent executive and staff jobs & created 15 temporary construction jobs to the site. The key Improvements included new storefront, interior re-design to add new executive offices, window refinishing, asbestos abatement, and preservation of marble flooring. The previously approved city forfeitures: \$ 1,251 per year at a 5 year of \$6,243. The total for five years of Corrected City Forfeitures of \$11,166 total for 5 yrs. And that's for Home Federal Bank. The Resolution 97 for Petroleum Building, LLC is for the property located at 619 Market Street, Shreveport, LA

Councilman Shyne: Ah, just one minute. Mr. Sibley, did you all want to handle this as, okay, that'll be fine.

Ms. Mapp: Okay.

Councilman Shyne: First of all, anybody got any questions?

Ms. Mapp: On Home Federal Bank.

Councilman Shyne: If not, proceed.

Ms. Mapp: Okay. Resolution 97 for Petroleum Building located at 619 Market Street. That was approved in May, May 13, 2014 and the total project cost for that was \$725,000 Key features of that application included four level improvements which were the ground floor and they were going to put parking and commercial space on that level. 2nd and 3rd floors, they were going to restore the commercial space that already existed, and on the 4th floor, they were going to put a luxury style apartment. The property was deemed to have historic significance. The Downtown Development District by the State and was certified as a historic structure for rehabilitation at Federal level. And the previously approved city forfeitures were \$ 417 per year for a total of \$2,085 total for the five years in total. The corrected city forfeitures are \$2,084 per year. And a five year total of \$10,420. Are there any questions?

Councilman Everson: What was the previous total again?

Ms. Mapp: For Petroleum Building? Five year or one year or both?

Councilman Everson: The five year.

Ms. Mapp: The five year total was \$2,085.

Councilman Shyne: Any questions or comments? If not, public hearing is closed.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, you have to ask the public if they have any - - -.

Councilman Shyne: Anybody from the public have any comments?

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, Just wanted to express the Administration's support.

Councilman Shyne: Okay. We don't have anybody from the public with any comments. Any other Council Member before we close the public hearing?

Councilman Everson: I'd just like to express my support and thank you Department of Community Development for realizing and addressing an error in these applications and correcting them.

Ms. Mapp: Thank you.

Councilman Shyne: You all are doing a fine job.

Ms. Mapp: Thank you sir.

Mr. Sibley: Thank you Ms. Mapp.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, I don't want to be like President Bush saying "Brownie, you're doing a heck of a job." Thank y'all very much. Okay, Mr. Thompson, we would like to add some legislation at this time.

Adding Items to the Agenda, Public Comments, Confirmations and Appointments.

Adding legislation to the agenda (regular meeting only) and public comments on motions to add items to the agenda.

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Resolution No. 110 of 2014:** A Resolution to affirm and support Mayor Cedric B. Glover's request to Governor Bobby Jindal concerning the Harrelson Landfill by letter dated June 19, 2014, attached hereto, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto.

Mr. Thompson: And the Mayor has asked that the City Council would consider adding the appointment of the Assistant Citi Engineer Department of Engineering and Environmental Services Mr. Patrick Furlong, Professional Engineer, and I believe you have his information and resume.

Councilwoman McCulloch: So moved.

Councilman Everson: Second.

Councilman Shyne: We do, but before we can do that, let me give the public an opportunity to - - - is there anyone in the public that would have any opposition of this legislation being adding to the agenda? And Mr. Mayor, I think we have quite a few people here who would like to speak about your legislation in relation to the landfill, so after we add it to the agenda, I'm going to ask for the suspension of the rules again and let those people come forward. I think Councilwoman McCulloch has some people down that would like to speak about that. And to me that's a very, very important issue. Those people have been suffering for years from that landfill, and not only does it look bad, but it's really unhealthy. And I think that needs to be a priority, wouldn't you say so Ms. Irma Rogers? That needs to be priority of this city government. So, if I can get a motion again to add this to the agenda.

Councilwoman McCulloch: So moved.

Councilman Everson: Second.

Motion by Councilman McCulloch, seconded by Councilman Everson to add Resolution No. 110 of 2014 and the executive appointment of Mr. Patrick Furlong, Professional Engineer to the agenda.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Chairman, did you intend to take up the Mayor's suggestion that we add Assistant City Engineer?

Councilman Shyne: I was hoping that in their motion, it would be both.

Councilwoman McCulloch: We actually made two motions.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, right. So.

Mr. Thompson: So the motion includes both?

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, it's both.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

Public Comments (*In accordance with Section 1.11 of the Rules of Procedures*)

Councilman Shyne: Councilwoman McCulloch, I'm going to refer to you to kinda come in with introduction, and then we'll let the people come in with the public comments. And we have quite a few.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Okay, actually as Councilman Shyne has mentioned, most of you all are aware of the issues with Harrelson's landfill within District A within the MLK area. We've had several meetings for the past week. One we had at Southern University, and then another meeting was held at the Willis Knighton Medical Health Center. As a result of those meetings, I felt it very urgent to support Mayor Glover's letter in which he sent to Governor Bobby Jindal urging and requesting that the landfill be shut down. I don't know, maybe we should allow Mayor Glover to maybe talk about the letter and what it was in detail. Mayor Glover?

Councilman Shyne: That'll be fine Ma'am. Mayor would you like to make a few comments?

Mayor Glover: Thank you Councilwoman McCulloch, Mr. Chairman and members of the Council. I think to be brief about it, despite the fact that this has been an ongoing situation for some number of years. The history of this situation goes back at least in terms of my personal involvement to the 1990s as a member of the City Council when the previous ownership, the Harrelson Landfill transferred ownership to the new operation that essentially had previously been intended to be a very limited use facility for the proprietary use of the ownership for their own demolition activities and what have you. That was still something that I wasn't supportive of or in line with, but the whole situation had become much, much worse. And set itself on path leading to where we are today. When it became a commercial operation, for the purposes of accepting construction debris landfill from any and everybody. The initial request was granted by the Metropolitan Planning Commission at that time. As the Councilman for District A, I made a specific request that that particular vote be overturned. It was overturned by a 6-0 vote. Unfortunately it was litigated from that point forward, and the local district court sided with Harrelson. And so they were allowed to commence operation on what was to be under 30 acres of land. They have since expanded that operation to being well over 70 acres if not more. They have not had any sort of zoning provisions to approve in proper manner to allow and facilitate that. As is detailed also in our presentation to the community on last week, there are seven pages worth of violations that these folks have committed over the years, and to the credit of the local Department of Environmental Quality folks, they have done their job in terms of citing the facility for its violations. And passed those violations on up the chain to the proper personnel. I (inaudible) it on last week to being a situation that if you were to look at it as a commercial enterprise that was to be regulated by the City of Shreveport, like a liquor store, we issue through our police department permits to sell liquor, and you end up with an operation that continuously over a 20 year period has violation, after violation, after violation, where they have Sunday sales, youth sales, improper

ABO Card, whatever the case may be that you ultimately end up with a situation where we as the Administration and you all as the Council, fail to act to bring that particular business either to compliance or ultimately place them on revocation. Well, that is the same set of circumstances that applies at the State level with regards to Harrelson Landfill. Their permit to operate is not issued by the City of Shreveport. The only aspect of this that we have any control and influence over is the zoning status of it. That zoning status is not in good standing, but they do have a permit that has been legally issued and authorized and approved by the State of Louisiana. It is the State of Louisiana, and ultimately the Governor who is responsible for enforcing the provisions of that permit. And so Council Members, the intent of this letter is to ask that the Governor to utilize the power and the authority that is at his disposal to bring this operation from my perspective into a status of losing the right to operate based upon the instance, after instance, after instance, after instance. This isn't just a set of minor grievances. This isn't just as you all sit here and deal with as we see folks who sell liquor. Or you have somebody who didn't have an ABO Card, or you have somebody who forgot to check the age of someone who came in. This is literally going out and providing the beer for the beer bust for the teenagers. I mean that's kind of the equivalent of what we have here. And this is taking place right now adjacent to a neighborhood of folks who don't deserve it. And it's also taking place what is going to be the intersection of Interstate 49. And I-220. Essentially the front door, our northern front door for the City of Shreveport. And so it's a situation that needs to be addressed. And to my understanding and appreciation that the Governor and the folks at the state level have the ability to be able to offer the relief to the city and to the citizens that they deserve, and this letter ask the Governor to do just that. The resolution comes as an expression of my dialogue back and forth with Councilwoman McCulloch in her capacity as the Council representative for the area, in asking what possibly could be done from a Council level that expresses the Council's dissatisfaction with the current situation as well as an expression of support from the people who are here today, and more importantly, the thousands of people that they represent. Because this issue has been an MLK/Cooper Road issue for a long time, but since the fires have gotten worse, it's become an issue for folks who live in North Shreveport and who travel throughout North Shreveport in general. Because it doesn't take you very long as you stand in the parking lot of Brookshire's on North Market or North Highlands, or on some days, even over into the Cherokee Park area, the Lakeview areas or what have you, to find yourselves down-wind from the fumes that are emanating from the Harrelson Landfill. So with that, I'll turn it back over to Councilwoman McCulloch and will certainly answer any questions that the Council may have and certainly anxious to hear from the citizens who are here who want to express their thoughts also.

Councilman Shyne: Councilwoman McCulloch, we have quite a few.

Councilwoman McCulloch: We have quite a few?

Councilman Shyne: Right, we'll start off with Pastor Mays.

Councilwoman McCulloch: So, I didn't finish - - - I really wanted to just make acknowledge some words to the citizens who have worked so diligent, and so hard with this issue, and of course, I made a few notes. But as the District A Representative, I would like to express my appreciation first of all to our Mayor, Mayor Cedric B. Glover, for his initiative for such a time as this, in contacting Governor Bobby Jindal concerning the issues of the landfill which are at present affecting our communities, causing sickness, and possibly past deaths, and also safety issues. Also for the record, I'd like to express appreciation to our Chairperson, Councilman Joe Shyne, who supported the fact at this particular era, point and time. It is now high time in the best interest of the citizens regarding the landfill, that it be shut down. Thanks also to my fellow Council persons, Council Members, who have expressed concerns, participated in legal sessions as well as

community meetings, up to date. Thanks to the MLK Community Association as well as members of other associations and other community activists residing within the community, for your participation and your steadfastness as well as your services. Thanks to the David Raines Medical Center, and Willis Knighton Health Centers who have educated the community on health issues and safety issues as well. They serve our families daily. Also a special thanks to the Nation of Islam, and other citizens of the City of Shreveport. Last, but not least our fire department. Thanks for all that you have done to help maintain and control the fires of which have affected the MLK Community. Some citizens have asked must we continue to wait on litigations when our people are sick and dying. My answer is no. Some have said, why now? And we've been raising hell for the past 20 years or so. It must be election time. Surely, I would not as your representative, and I don't believe any other representative sitting here today would negotiate with a property owner, the ignition of a fire in order to get re-elected. The record will reflect as your representative, since serving on the Council due to constant litigations, the fact the state has continuously permitted operation in spite of your many complaints of health and safety. In spite of the many violations and zoning issues. It has been my constant plea to have youth, some of you that are here today to bring those concerns and complaints before this Council for the record. Now, it's also been stated by way of media that I am a puppet for the Mayor. I beg to differ with that. I'm not a puppet. I don't agree with that, but I do like the idea of being a bull dog. A puppet doesn't have any control. A bull dog somewhat has selective - - - they choose to attack. So when my people come to me on issues that affect them, especially their health and their livelihoods, I'm not a puppet, but I am certainly a bull dog. Again as the District A Representative, I must agree with the Mayor, his comments expressed to me as the representative, the best result throughout this entire battle is that in his words, the people have now become empowered to stand up. I want to commend you, all of you that I have mentioned for your initiative, for your zeal to stand up for what you believe is right. The proof is now in the pudding because some of you are here today. On behalf of District A, again thank you to each and every one of you who have helped to make a difference. Thank you. At this time, we'll hear from our speakers.

Councilman Shyne: Okay Councilwoman McCulloch, thank you very much. Let me make this clear to the speakers now. You all will not have the same time that the Mayor had, and you will not have the same time that Councilwoman McCulloch had. If so, we'd be here until 12:00. My prayer time might come around before then. Pastor Mays? Jackie, will you start the clock?

Pastor Linus Mays: (335 E. Dalzell) Thank you Councilman Shyne, I'm not going to be long enough for the clock to even get started. First of all thank you Councilman Shyne for your years of service, thank you to the Chairman, to the Vice-Chairman Jeff Everson, to our distinguished Mayor, and to all that are assembled here today concerning an issue that relates to District A community and all the citizens throughout the city of Shreveport. The Mayor hit it right on the head, Councilwoman McCulloch hit it right on the head. What my concern is on today is that resources that are being used by the fire department to come out Councilman Shyne on multiple occasions. I know Councilman Shyne, you're involved in this as well. Multiple times there the fire department had to come out using city resources in order for this company who has been cited multiple times. The Department of Environmental Quality has cited this particular company, over, and over, and over, and over again. So it's disturbing to me, that how can a person, Councilman Shyne, still be in operation after being cited so many times? I heard he was one of the meetings that they had and you expressed some of your views concerning this. To the Mayor, thank you for being concerned and to Councilwoman McCulloch who had always been a fighter and a bull dog, not a puppet. So, with that being said, I know it's a lot of other people who want to speak on this particular issue, but the bottom line is that these violations and this burning that has

been going on for a number of years is going to have a direct impact on the citizens that live in District A. And it's sad that we have to after lawsuit, after lawsuit. I think the city got about four lawsuits that done been filed, and there's multiple lawsuits that has been filed against this company, so if it had been anything else, you know this company should have been out of business long time ago. But the new owner that's taken over this business should have never been able to operate this business in the first place. But with all that being said, I'm just saddened about the whole thing, because it takes all the lawsuits in order to get his matter resolved. With that being said, I appreciate the time, I appreciate you guys, and still got 30 seconds left. I appreciate you all being on the same page that we're already in agreement, that this is a problem that needs to be addressed.

Mr. Marvin T. Muhammed: (1413 Clay Street) I wish Pastor Mays would actually been very brief, cause I was going to petition for his extra time. So I'll go head Chair and go ahead and ask for - - -

Councilman Shyne: Well, we're going to give you extra time. He had about what? 6 seconds left?

Mr. Muhammed: But with that being said, in my right hand, I hold a letter that the CEO of the City of Shreveport, Mayor Cedric Glover wrote to the Governor Bobby Jindal, and in this letter it goes into 37 DEQ violations that have been demonstrated. It also goes into 23 incidents that the fire department had to respond to from May 2012 to December 2013 with over 182 different vehicles and engines and machines from the fire department. What's also astonishing is that since then 2014 in the Mayor's letter, there have also been another 14 instances and I just spoke with another fire official coming in and he's also stated that it's been - - - it's literally been every day they had to be forced to go out there and fight a fire that just does not go out. This Council and this City is at best very limited and at worst impotent in its ability to control a situation that is really out of control. We believe in all fairness that at best, that it is very questionable that the Department of Environmental Quality has the best interest of the MLK area in their best interest. We also believe that some state officials, and we won't call names today. But we do want to make it known that we do believe that it's political (inaudible) that's allowing this to continue to perpetuate. We also agree with the Mayor that we want immediate and permanent closure of Harrelson Management. But not only that, we want to make sure that that area is totally eradicated of the toxic waste- site dump from the community. So this is not just about closure, this is about a mass cleanup in the area. We've been doing some research on Michael Harrelson, and we have discovered that he has another landfill off Mt. Zion Road. We are concerned about that as well. We're also concerned about that it's possible that he would be allowed to just turn up his heels and leave. So we want to know, do we have pollution liability insurance that would force him to actually eradicate that situation. We don't want him to turn up his heels and to then force the federal government to actually make that a super (inaudible). This morning, we spoke with Senator Mary Landrieu's aide, I believe he's here today, Malcolm Meyer. And we actually gave him the resolution being introduced by Councilwoman McCulloch, and we also gave him the letter that Mayor wrote to Governor Bobby Jindal. So we want to impress on this council that this must be something of top order. This cannot fall asleep. We will be watching, and we beg of you, we plead of you to make this a top priority, to make sure our state officials whether it be State Senator Gregg Tarver, our State Representative in District 4, Patrick Williams and others to make sure they are corresponding and working with the current administration. Because politics, the game is over when people are actually losing their lives. Thank you.

Councilman Shyne: Marvin, we agree with you and we know that this will be led by the administration, and we will do our - - - did Ms. Rogers leave out?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Quick question. Is there opposition to the letter?

Councilman Shyne: It's not necessarily no opposition to the letter, but Ms. McCulloch had some people to come down and we want to at least give them an opportunity since they live in that area and they're suffering through this poison that this (inaudible) has been spewing for quite a while.

Ms. Thelma Humphrey: (3237 Sidney Street) I'm one of the volunteers for the CDC and I just wanted to let you all know that I'm supporting Mayor Glover on the letter that he sent to Governor Bobby Jindal, and most of all what we want to know is what you all are going to do about the place and when are you all going to close it down. Thank you.

Ms. Irma Rogers: (1920 Michoud) Chairman Shyne, Vice-Chairman Everson, Mayor Glover, Mr. Sibley, all of our City Councilmen, our City Councilwoman, Ms. Rose Wilson-McCulloch. I have with me several envelopes. These envelopes represent 2008, 2009, 2010, and past then to the present day. Approximately 2008, I think I was testifying before the Louisiana Senate Committee, or Sub-Senate Committee about this very issue. That was at the height of it being turned over to LDEQ at that time. Later, signatures were sent. I think Ms. (inaudible) Gousha when I look at my records was there at the LDEQ Department. We sent over 1300 signatures. Rev. Holden helped to spearhead that and other members from Willow Chute Baptist Church and Pilgrim Rest Baptist Church and several members from the Russell Road area, Bonnie Street, (inaudible) Street, Nash Street, we'll hear those addresses in a few minutes. At any rate, to no avail, a lawsuit was filed. Mrs. Thelma Humphrey who just left, Mrs. Lydia (inaudible) they live in those areas and had the courage to step up, and helped to file that lawsuit with Tulane Law Center at that time. The lawsuit went back on appeal because it was denied, denied again, against this very dump site. We have been living with the stench, it has now become a primary source of environmental problems because it's on fire. It has enlarged itself. It appears that Mr. Harrelson does not adhere to any of the cease and desist orders. I have one here dated June 9, 2009 from the Governor's office. All of this was submitted at that time. We've been in contact by email with Tulane Law Center again to see if we can get whatever records that they have that might be able to be released to the public. I'm representing some of the people who could not be here today, Ms. Mary Harris. I think she was on McMarshall Street. Mrs. Ethel Edwards who was there far before this landfill was there and did write an opposition letter to the MPC at the time asking them not to put it there when it was Chandler Brothers, more than five years ago, she asked for this not to be done. Her daughter, Phoebe Edwards (inaudible) heard from, who has been sending anonymous emails, they sent this email to Tulane Law Center, in our behalf is one of our members, requesting that with all due haste this landfill is closed. That it cease and desist in perpetuity because now you run the risks of toxins. You may have a ground water table which may be developing toxic waste that we have no more information about. There were environmental quality studies and things done when Tulane brought the lawsuit. But now, you have a new set of issues because it has been more than five years ago. You have residents who are ill. You now have records of residents who shall speak and I'll let them speak who have had to call the fire department over there to come to their homes and pick them up and take them to the doctor. Children with asthma attacks and other things. I spoke so adamantly against this issues several years ago because I was subjected to creosote in my hometown of Bossier City. Detrimental effects, I have three siblings who are deceased, one from unknown causes. I don't think you know how that feels unless you've had somebody, your sister die from unknown causes. So I just want to reiterate what they're going to talk about, which is this is detrimental to our neighborhood. As the President of the MLK CDC, we did not bring the lawsuit, we just assisted our members and helping. But right now, if we really try to bring one, I don't have anything from LDEQ. My suggestion to you is to seek other avenues. I

know we have to follow protocol. That's what we did. Protocol didn't get us anywhere at that time. We need to seek other avenues, higher levels, EPA, Washington, D.C., etc., wherever we have to go, but something needs to be done and it needs to be done right now. Any questions?

Councilman Shyne: Let me ask you one question Ms. Rogers. Do you think if this dump was out on the Ellerbe Road, that Mr. Harrelson - - - do think maybe the State Troopers or somebody from the federal government would have knocked on his door by now? With all of the violations that he's had?

Ms. Rogers: Of course I do.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, I just wanted to ask you. Thank you very much.

Ms. Rogers: It's not that we've not knocked on his door. We did that and they almost arrested Rev. Holden.

Councilman Shyne: I'm not talking about you all, I'm talking about the State.

Ms. Rogers: I understand, I understand. A different entity? Very good point.

Ms. Janie Jackson: (1718 Gain) I've been calling about this fire ever since June 5th, this fire has been going. And I have asthma, bronchitis, I'm on two different inhalers. I have called the police and the fire department. They had to come out to my house. I had to have breathing treatments. This stuff is killing me and my family. And I'm right at the front door of it. I sleep in the daytime, because I watch at night. I called last night twice, 911. I have called HAZMAT. I have my number what they told me to write down. I just want it closed down. Please, it's killing me and my family and all my neighbors.

Mr. Michael Brooks: (1744 Nash) I moved because it was so smoky and everything down in there. I moved up on 1829 (inaudible). It's still in the Cooper Road area, but like they say, they need to close that dump. Everybody, I can't breathe, can't leave my windows up because it be smoking in there. All kinds of scent and everything else up in there. I don't know what all they got burning down in that landfill, but we can't live inhaling that smoke and stuff like that. It flies all over that Cooper Road area, and it comes from that dump, that landfill dump. And we don't need it up there like they say, close it down. We ain't got the power to do it, but enough votes, we ought to be able to get it done. And if everything go right, we can get that thing shut down. That's all I have to say, thank you.

Ms. Contisha Wiggins: (1756 Nash) I want to say enough is enough. The dump needs to be shut down because we can't run our air conditioner. It's pulling the air in. The 3rd Sunday (I'm an Usher), the 3rd Sunday I got up to get ready to go to church and it was so much fumes in my house, it was pulling all that excess smoke into the house. And it actually need to be shut down. I have been sick, I'm a diabetic and I've been weak and don't be hurting, but just so weak, I don't feel like doing anything. I went to the doctor, they couldn't find anything wrong with me. And I have a son. He went to the hospital last year, having bad headaches. They took all kinds of tests, they couldn't find nothing wrong with him, and he lived close to the dump also. So, it needs to be shut down.

Councilman Shyne: Right, we thank you for coming down and if the Mayor and I have to, we might go and stand right in front of the gate. And let the police come out and take us to jail, and of course I'm not afraid of the jail.

Pastor Mays: And I'll go with you.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Councilman Shyne, now you know at some of these meetings we have constituency that don't mind going. They've expressed they don't mind going. Hopefully we don't have to go there.

Councilman Shyne: And Willie Bradford back there, he'll be up shortly, but he came up with the suggestion, and if we have to since Pastor Mays has volunteered.

Pastor Mays: I'll go.

Councilman Shyne: And you know the Mayor is going to volunteer, so you know that's four of us, and Mr. Marvin (inaudible)

Councilwoman McCulloch: Mr. Bradford said he wanted me to be out there too now. You can't leave me out.

Councilman Shyne: Well, I'm gone let you and Willie take care of that, and thank you so very much for coming down.

Ms. Peggy L. Fisher: (1712 Bonnie Street) I just want to talk about the explosion we went through on June 8th. My major concern just in case, and God forbid, if there is a big explosion, I would like to ask the panel if we have a big huge something as if we need to be exactly, has anybody talked about setting up a plan for the residents of the neighborhood. My mom and I, we stay - - - it's right in our front door. And we smell these fumes and we've had two explosions already. And if it be another one, I would just like to know would you all consider giving us feedback on some kind of task force or what we can do as citizens in the area on how to get out or would we have enough water in case we have a big fire. And it does look like it's harming us because I've known of some of the residents going without water for several hours. Because they had to put the landfill out. And we have a reserve. So if we're sitting and a major explosion or fire break out. It's like a hop, skip and a jump from our front door as it is from the rest of the area. I would like please for y'all to be able to get back in touch with us, write us a letter, let us know y'all have something set up to help us to you know? If we have to just leave. You know? Because it's going to happen. It's definitely going to happen. Thank you.

Councilman Shyne: I know your representative and the Mayor will be the lead on that from the Council, and I know other council members will follow their lead, because we want to make sure that you all are safe and the environment is (inaudible).

Ms. Fisher: Well right now, that is the major thing. It's not only breathing, but it's the (inaudible) of things. Not being able to lay down at night if you got to get up all of a sudden you know? Just like a tornado or a hurricane, we feel the same way about the landfill.

Councilman Shyne: That's part of being mentally healthy. And thank you for coming down.

Ms. Ruth Pierce Mims: (1842 Northside Road) I just wanted to say that the landfill is very unhealthy. I have chronic asthma, this little outfit here cost three hundred and some dollars, and my insurance had stopped paying for it. So not only it's because of this here, that I'm asking for other people that are suffering just like I am behind the landfill. We all in that community is in danger. And we all are pleading for help. Thank you all very much.

Councilman Shyne: Thank you for coming up. Let me - - - I'm gone pledge this to you personally. I got about six more months on the Council. And this is going to be my priority. I'm going to bring this up at every council meeting, and we're going to see if we can't get something done. Because you all don't have any business living in America, living in the next best city in the south to have to go through what you're going through. If it was on the Ellerbe Road, you wouldn't have to be going through this. Without any doubt, and I don't care what nobody say, but without any doubt, you would not be going through this. Thank you for coming down. Ms. Annie Ealy. Ms. Ealy, did your kids go to Linear?

Councilwoman McCulloch: (Inaudible) you know she was a track star and all that. And she was a tennis player.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, tennis player. And very smart!

Ms. Annie Ealy: (1712 Bonnie) To all of the City Council, and I say to all of you. I've been up there for 51 years. I'm very comfortable living on the Cooper Road, or at least I was. I love

where I live. I'm just as proud of where I live as the people who live in the gated areas. We take pride on our street where I live. Our children graduated from high school, from college, two of our sons served in the Navy. One of them served for 22 years. My daughter graduated, two of my daughters graduated from Linear. My concern is I've been a healthy person all of my life. Last year, the 21st day of July was the first time I had ever been sick. Now, I'm 79 years old. At that time, I was 78. I had never been in the hospital sick in my life. But 2013 was the worst year of my life. To go to bed at night, smelling the fumes, I wish everybody in this room could have inhaled some of the stuff that I have. I just wish that on all of you. But at this time, I'm not only - - - the fumes are not my problem, but my eyes are watering now, my throat is dry. But what I'm afraid of now is my daughter said is the mental state. I've already wrote out my funeral program, already. Because if I stay there, even if I don't, the damage has been done now. You can close the landfill down now. I can't understand for the life of me is why that happened to us? You proud of where you live, you keep your lawns, your yards cut all of my neighbors, we keep our yards cut. And my thing is I go to bed at night and I ask the Lord to take care of us because when the explosion comes, it's not just gonna hurt us in that area, sometimes we feel when we don't have - - - it's not in my neighborhood, it's not next to me, but I guarantee the water we drink out of Cross Lake, everybody in this city drink some of that water. When I think about the water that Cross Lake, we don't know what's underground. I was told that it's buried 100 feet underground. With the gas lines, if that fire hits one of those gas lines, everybody in this area, if you live in Lakeside Acres, Mooretown, wherever you live, if one of those pockets hit one of those pockets with the gas they're pulling out from under our property, the shale companies that's getting all the gas, what if it hits one of those pockets? That'll be mass destruction on the Cooper Road, North Highlands, Lakeside Acres. It's just going to be a joint death. I just hope something can be done. I don't know what can be done, because the damage is already done to us. Thank you.

Mr. Fred Ellis: (1785 Jesse Owens) Good evening Mayor Glover, Council. I guess I'm not familiar with y'all's process. I don't come down here often, but my first 10 minutes, I saw an hogging of time, and I was listening to Councilman Shyne how he was hogging time, hogging time, and then I think about this landfill that's been there 20 years, and I think where was his obsession then on the time? But then after I sat here long enough, I said okay, I'm familiar with the process, I guess he was trying to stay to protocol. But I would have like to have seen that obsession over the past two decades. Hopefully going forward, we can generate some of that. Now, I'm a local health care professional. I'm also assistant professor at Southern University. I want to talk a little bit about some of the things I heard some of the other residents talk about that came before me. I heard 'em talk about those fumes. Well, let me tell you something about those fumes. Those are actually gases that you are breathing in (inaudible). Let me tell you some of the contents of them. Benzene, Hydrogen Sulfide, Ammonia, (inaudible) Vinyl Chloride, Dioxins, various forms of methane and all of them in one way or another, they're either Arrhythmetoric irritant like a lot of people talk about asthma and stuff like that, COPD and emphysema, and stuff like that, or they are highly explosive. So when I heard a lady talk about possible explosions, that's definitely a possibility. Especially if the concentration of those gasses build up in your house, okay? And then also (inaudible) documented cancer causing agents. Okay? Now the question is, I have a couple of questions. Because I look through all the documents of the DEQ and some of the records, I do not see where any environmental assessment was done prior to the approval of this landfill being put in operation. I didn't see that. I didn't see that documentation on public record where they had done an assessment or the impact environmentally of this neighborhood by putting this landfill with there. I also didn't see in the past five years where testing of soil, air and land quality was done. I didn't see that. That was very disturbing in my view. I did not see that. Now those gases

and stuff I just mentioned a few minutes ago, those are things that would normally be produced and disseminated from a landfill such as that. Normally. Now, for the one we're talking about now, take in consideration one that's been on fire for several years. So then now you multiply to the 10th power what I just said a minute ago. Now, I'm not going to stay here long, I'm going to adhere to the time protocol. But I think this process just by me standing here, I'm disgusted. You know I feel disgusted and listening to the people coming here and plead to this council for things that they shouldn't have to. Here in 2014, I think it's very disturbing, and this is probably my first ever coming down here and I'm just disgusted right now that I'm actually having to talk to you about something that I should not have to talk to you about. And I'm just going to be honest. Now if you show me a dump or a landfill in a White community anywhere in this country, and I will show you a polar bear that can ice skate backwards while eating a banana split. This gotta be better, and it's gotta be a matter of priority because let me tell you right now. Where the other lady was talking about the contaminated water, yes that landfill goes down 100 feet deep, but what's been happening is the landfill's been on fire. So what happens when it's on fire, all those protective layers? They would have to put a layer, then put trash, then put a layer all those particular layers, and the lighters designed to predict the leak (inaudible) from leaking out have been destroyed as a result of the fire. So, is it contaminated water? It's inevitable, if it had already happened. So I'm going to leave that with you. I am disgusted that I having to talk to you about this. But hopefully going forward, Mayor Glover, I'm very impressed with the compassion that he demonstrated at his meeting the other night and I hope going forward that just as Councilmen were hogging the time, we hog this agenda. Because these people should not have to go through this. And this is bigger than the Cooper Road. When you take in consideration cross wind and all this stuff, this is a city-wide problem. Because it don't take long for some of those particles to get in the (inaudible) ducts of your lungs and you cannot breathe once they get there. Especially stuff like asbestos and all kinds of stuff like that. Once it's there, it's there. Thank you.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Ellis, we appreciate you coming down and just stay on top of this. It's going to take all of us to make sure that we come up with a solution.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Do you know Leon Ellis Mr. Shyne?

Councilman Shyne: He looks just like his daddy.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Mm hmm. That's his son.

Councilman Shyne: Right, looks just like him. Mr. Bradford? I knew his daddy before he was born. Of course I'm not as old as the Mayor, but

Mr. Willie Bradford: (4700 Hilry Huckaby Avenue) Let me start off by thanking the Mayor and Councilwoman McCulloch for coming and hosting and bring valuable information to the residents of the MLK neighborhood last Wednesday night. And I want to thank Councilwoman McCulloch for sharing that exact information to those in attendance in a meeting that we hosted at the Willis Knighton Health and Education Center on Thursday night. I thought that was very, both were very, very well attended. Mr. Chairman, I'm like Mr. Ellis. You have to be very disturbed and in apathy with the previous speakers. I think the Mayor's letter to the Governor is on point. I support it, and just hope that the Governor understands the urgency of this matter. I really hope he does. Like the previous meeting on Wednesday night you know we felt compelled as a health and education facility to bring in the residents to talk about some of the health related issues that were being caused by this situation. We didn't get into a lot of the innuendos and finger pointing, because in my opinion, there is enough blame to go around anyway. So I said to the attendants, let's move forward and try to see what we can do to help improve and well-being of the people in that community. So as you have heard, we debated a lot of things there including some of the solutions that were presented here. And one of the solutions

and I think the most (inaudible) solution is that we don't want that there no more. That plant is temporarily closed for 15 days and I think the 15 days almost up. But we want it permanently shut down. These residents should not be subject to the continuous exposure of the smoke and the environmental hazards associated with not only that landfill, but the burning and the fire that's accompanying that landfill. Like the Mayor said earlier, initially the landfill was for construction materials and trees and limbs and that kind of stuff. It has extended to something that we don't have a clue what it's about. Because I'm telling you now, trees and rocks don't burn black smoke. You know something else down there is burning and I agree, we just don't know what it is. So, Dr. Daniels, kind of told a lot of these speakers that they need to go get checked out because some of the elements and chemicals and substances that they have been inhaling are very detrimental to their health. But as a health educational manager, I need to tell you that regardless of getting checked, this again is a temporary fix, because you cannot be healthy in an unhealthy community. You get checked out, you can get some treatment for your condition, but if you go right back handling that smoke again, those toxins, you're going to be back again. So I'm saying to you as the elected body of this city, there are some things that you can do while we waiting on the Governor's response. There are some things that you can do. For instance, the 15 days temporary shutdown. Now you got great minds here, let's think about how we can create some legislation that could do something to keep it shut down a little bit longer. At least until the fire goes out. I mean the goal is permanent, but at least until the fire goes out. We should not have to continue to fuel the fire by having people continue to dump materials in that landfill. Another thing that Russell Road coming up to that dumpsite is torn completely up, and you have to like chase potholes to get to our jobs and to our homes. Okay? That's an expense on the city. Something can be done about that, but more importantly, the fire department as you have heard is constantly over there. I think they've been over there like every day for the last month almost. Okay? That's extending the city resources to a situation that is beyond the control of the city. You know this Board is just our ordinary responses. This man is contributing to the city resources being utilized. Just like it is when my alarm at my house goes off over three times, somebody is going to charge me or send me a fee. Okay? I think that once city resources have been reasonably expended, I think the homeowner or the business owner should bear some of the responsibility. You guys can look at that and try to put something together to address that. You know we can't continually forever send fire trucks out there and cutting water off at people's houses just to try to reach a fire that's unreachable. That has to be eradicated in a way that the fire department cannot reach. Mr. Chairman, the lady said enough is enough, and I'm saying I agree. But I think it's not only incumbent upon the Mayor addressing the Governor. I think you have responsibility. You can legislate something. You can come up with something that can help these residents and help us to permanently get this not only this hazardous toxin making plant out of our community but to also save the city's valuable resources that will be needed for the residents of this city and we cannot continue to allow this to happen. I appreciate again all the involvement that is being given to this effort. We're committed at Willis Knighton Health System and our clinic in the MLK area as well as David Raines. They partnered with us in hosting that meeting trying to get the residents educated on the dangers that are affecting and I think you all have heard those dangers and it would be negligent upon you to hear the pleas of this crowd and yet feel like there is nothing that you can do. I think the apathy and the passion that you heard should trickle into your hearts and into your minds to help get some relief for the citizens of Shreveport. Thank you Mr. Chairman.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Bradford, we appreciate you coming down and we're going to look for you to continue to come down. I know we've taken a lot of time, but we took more time than this with Calvin Grigsby and Calvin doesn't even live in this city.

Councilwoman McCulloch: Mr. Shyne, if you will allow me to kinda piggy back on his remarks as far as this body coming up with something. I do recall and it was jut brought to my attention that the meeting that was held at the Willis Knighton facility, our fire department expressed that it is not within our authority to do that. Because it is permitted by the state, it is the state's authority to come up with something. So I just wanted to kinda insert that. Because I don't want the people to leave here believing is that there is something that at the end of the day, yes the City Council can help us. And again, we have to be reminded as the Mayor has iterated is that this landfill and landfills period are permitted by the state. Okay? And what we do here is issue violations. And so I don't know that there is anything beyond what the mayor has done today, and again, thank you Mayor Glover. I don't know if there is anything that this Council as a body can legislate to force the landowner to pay a fee. If the state is permitting this guy to operate and once we cite him for violations then the state, we have representatives, senators, state representatives that should be speaking that language, or that should be addressing that. You have been cited. You have had X number of violations as the records shows or reflects, now you need to pay up or shut down. So I don't want you all leaving here thinking oh yeah, well you know that man was right, the city does need to do something. The Mayor has gone far and beyond what he was supposed to do because we do have state representatives, we do have state senators, and please let's not disregard that. Thank you.

Councilman Shyne: Thank you very much for that. I'm going to ask the City Attorney, is she in?

Mayor Glover: She stepped out for a second Mr. Shyne.

Councilman Shyne: I'm going to ask her and Julie if you all can look at if it's possible for us to do anything. And if not, Mr. Bradford, we might have to do like we used to do during the Civil Rights era. When they said there was nothing that could be done? For some reasons, I'm not one of those that would buy into nothing can be done. I think it's something that can be done and I would ask our city attorneys to look into the possibilities to see if something can be done from the Council's standpoint and if nothing can be done from the Council's standpoint, Mr. Bradford you and Pastor Mays will have to come up with a solution like we were doing during the Civil Rights era, because people are getting sick, and they're dying. And we just can't wait around and say well you know ain't nothing we can do. Let's go into the zoning and I'm sorry we took so much time, but this is a very, very important issue. Like I say, we took more time with other issues, with Calvin Grigsby and others who isn't about people dying.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Mayor, before you left this - - -

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, I hope you and I don't mean to cut you off, but I hope you can make it short because we have some people who have been sitting out there for a long time, and what we'll do Mr. Mayor, if you want to, we'll come back later on if it's on this.

Mayor Glover: I'll make it brief Mr. Shyne. I just wanted to thank all the folks who have come out today and to express their thoughts and share their concerns. I want you all to know that my ears have been open an attuned to this issue for more than two decades. I'm glad to see that we are now starting to get some traction and getting some attention and bringing some needed focus to this issue. I also want to let you know that I appreciate the expressed interest on the part of some to say that even if we don't have any means at our hands here at the local level, that some of you are willing to engage in acts of civil disobedience. Without question, there was a time in our history when those things were needed and they may still be needed today, but Pastor, they were needed at a time when you did not have representation on the City Council, in the legislature, or in the Mayor's office, or in the Governor's mansion. And the only way in which you can manage to be able to effectively get the attention, of the folks who hands are on the levers of power you had to

engage in those types of acts. That is not the case today. We have moved forward in many respects. The problem and the challenge I think is in line by what was referenced by Councilwoman McCulloch, and that is that there are those who are in these positions that have not been attuned and in line with the issues and concerns and ultimately the cries of the people who are impacted. I think the history of this situation details and documents that. So I want to thank you all for coming out today. Of all the folks who have spoken from Ms. Rogers and Ms. Humphrey and everybody else, I have to give a specific expression of thanks and appreciation to Mrs. Ealy for and coming out and sharing. Mr. Shyne, Mrs. Ealy was a long time resident of the neighborhood. But she's not just a resident like the rest of these folks, she has also been a leader. Her leadership has manifested itself in many ways, not the least of which of her being the Den Mother for my Cub Scout Troup at Northside Elementary School, where her son Russell Ealy who's two years older than I am, but was always a sharp, smart, intelligent young man who was also my team mate and had the nickname 'Wildfire'. I know he has served two plus decades in the navy serving our country, defending our country, please Mrs. Ealy and especially hearing you express the fact that you are blessed with 78 years of outstanding health. Knowing the challenges that my mother has had in her 80, to know that it is possible to live as long as you have. To know that that within the last year that your health has been greatly challenged because of these circumstances, only deepens my resolve. So thank you for coming out today and thank you to all of you for coming out and sharing.

Councilman Shyne: Thank you very much Mr. Mayor and of course Ms. Ealy, I can tell him I knew you when he was a little boy running around on the Cooper Road, even before he got to know you. And the kids. I taught them and they were all extremely smart. And again, we appreciate you all coming down and I will promise to you all that this will be a priority for me. I will mention this at every Council Meeting coming up and like I say, if it's nothing we can do on the council, there are some things that we can do that will bring this problem to the attention of the federal government and that's what we need to do. Mr. Dukes, would you please come forward.

Councilman O. Jenkins: By the way don't you want to call for the vote on this particular issue.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, I'm sorry. I thought we had voted on it, I'm sorry.

RESOLUTION NO. 110 OF 2014

A RESOLUTION TO AFFIRM AND SUPPORT MAYOR CEDRIC B. GLOVER'S REQUEST TO GOVERNOR BOBBY JINDAL CONCERNING THE HARRELSON LANDFILL BY LETTER DATED JUNE 19, 2014, ATTACHED HERETO, AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: COUNCIL WOMAN ROSE MCCULLOCH

WHEREAS, Harrelson Materials Management Landfill (the Landfill) has been issued a permit by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality to operate a Type III landfill at 1101 Russell Road in Shreveport; and

WHEREAS, residents of the Martin Luther King neighborhood and other citizens of Shreveport have for years stated that the Landfill and the improper operation of the Landfill has and continues to cause health issues and a reduced quality of life for residents; and

WHEREAS, by letter dated June 19, 2014, to Governor Bobby Jindal (attached), Mayor Cedric Glover outlines the negative impact that that the Harrelson Materials Management Landfill has had and continues to have on the citizens and resources of City of Shreveport; and

WHEREAS, a summary of the numerous LDEQ orders and warning because of violations at the Landfill, beginning in October 1983 and continuing to date, is also attached.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in due, regular, and legal session convened, that the City Council affirms and support Mayor B. Glover's request to Governor Bobby Jindal which is attached hereto.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman McCulloch, seconded by Councilmen Corbin and O. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 5. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: Councilmen Everson and Webb. 2. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

Mayor Glover: Thank you Council Members, and know that I did have a chance to meet with EPA Regional Administrator, Ron (inaudible) he's aware of the situation and are engaged and hopefully we won't have to - - -

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, thank you, but let's move on. These folks have been here and we appreciate those of you who came off the Cooper Road for this. Just let's keep up the fight and we've been - - - is that Mr. William Dykes? Please come forward.

Mr. William Dykes: (942 Thora Blvd) Thank you Mr. Chairman. Speaking on the Zoning variation issue at 935 Thora Blvd. Thora Blvd, some of you know this, some of you may not, if you don't live in the area. One end of it starts at the Norton Art Museum, and it runs downhill and across Line Avenue and then it runs up a hill until you get to Fairfield Avenue. It's a pretty long street, there are a lot of houses on that street. I live at 942 Thora Blvd, which is pretty much right across the street from the particular subject that we're talking about here at 935 Thora. I grew up in this house, the one that I live in now. I was born in 1948, that makes me 66. I've seen a lot of things in that time. In this house at 935 Thora, to my knowledge is the largest residence on the street. I think it is somewhere close to 6,000 square feet. It is a huge residence. The particular proposal is to add an additional roughly 2300 square feet, so we're talking something 8,000 feet here now? Which includes a rather substantial living area as well as a three-car garage in addition to the garage that already exist. Unfortunately, Senator Jackson Davis who was here earlier today along with his wife, had to leave, couldn't stay had some prior commitments. But I used to go up in his front yard and he used to tell me and some of the other people who lived there a lot of stories that happened when he was in the legislature, like some of the conversations he had with Governor Earl Long. He is the only guy I know who was actually at Pearl Harbor on December 7, 1941. Pretty fascinating guy, I think he's somewhere between 95 and 100 now, and you can see from his presence here today he still gets around pretty well. And it was his suggestion to me that - - he said Bill I think what really needs to happen here is that the Council needs to remand this matter back to the Metropolitan Planning Commission for a re-hearing. That was his suggestion to me. He would have made it himself, but prior commitments didn't allow and just took more time to dispose of other matters and (inaudible) on, but I really believe that the proposed variation here is

adverse with the neighborhood as a whole and I can tell you that there is - - - you can look at the petition and the number of people that have signed it, and I hope you will consider their wishes and desires just as you have in connection with the landfill that you heard earlier. The people that live there matter. Thank you very much.

Councilman Shyne: Thank you. Any questions?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Just the one, and I understand that what would we be remanding it - - - ? I mean, I'm not exactly sure what the goal of remanding it would be. Obviously if we denied the - - - whether it be the appeal or support the appeal and then it would go back to the MPC Staff to make sure it complies with the ordinances as they are currently written and not granting this exemption, what would remanding it do?

Mr. Dykes: Well generally, I'm a lawyer, and generally remanding is where a higher court remands to a lower court for some sort of additional proceedings, often it involves taking evidence. And that's what I thought would probably be involved here. I don't really know what kind of evidence the MPC took initially on this, or did they take evidence. Did the interview people, did people come down there and testify? I don't really know. But I would say this, if the Council Members, if you really ask me what I would like to have, as opposed to what Senator Davis was suggesting, I'd like to have you basically reject the variation. Absolutely say that this is out of character with the neighborhood and it shouldn't happen and the application for a variance is denied. That's what I urge.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay. I mean I'm just saying I didn't know if there was some solution that you had thought of in this remanding it back to the ZBA in this case. That was my question.

Mr. Dykes: I understand your question. I'm sorry I didn't make it clear. I was really trying to be faithful to what Senator Davis said, and what my views are as I previously stated, I think the application should be rejected. Thank you.

Mr. Tom McNeely: (911 Thora Blvd) Ladies and gentlemen, I'm the neighbor on the east side of the property that is the subject of the appeal. Appealing the approval of the special exception use in the case BAC-35-14, and I ask that you reverse the decision of the Zoning Board of Appeals for the following reasons: 1) Thora Blvd is a beautiful single family neighborhood in the South Highlands Historic District. The houses are the centerpieces of their lots having significant green space on each side. Most of the houses have two-car garages, positioned in the back of their properties, out of sight unless it's a car port. 2) Rather than being a secondary residential dwelling for which the special exception use was intended such as a garage apartment, that the proposed building really is another single family residence on the same lot. The structure is proposed to have 2,162 square feet under roof with a living room, dining room, kitchen, bedroom and two additional sleeping areas, laundry facilities and a three-car garage. It is so large, it encroaches on the 10-foot easement of their east property line. 3) The proposed building is in full view of Thora and enclosed in a large driveway, with two additional parking spaces positioned up to my property line. 4) The principle impact consideration for approval of a secondary residential dwelling according to Section 106-240, of the city code is neighborhood compatibility. The proposed structure is not compatible with the neighborhood because 1) It changes the pattern of proportions between structures and the green space, while significantly reducing the green space as compared to the footprint of the buildings. 2) It makes this huge structure including the garage more visible from the street, than any other home in the Blvd. And 3), it makes the secondary structure a more prominent feature than any other home on Thora. Last year, you adopted the Shreveport Historic Preservation Ordinance. Thora Blvd is in the South Highlands Historic District and therefore is subject to that preservation ordinance. The purposes of that preservation

ordinance according to Section 36-1 include encouraging new development that is compatible with and that will strengthen historic properties. Because of the inconsistencies with the existing development, this special exception use does not satisfy that criteria. The Zoning Board of Appeals did not limit the use of the structure to family members only, thus it could be rented to a non-family member and this would be a significant change in the use of the property. At the very least, you should modify the decision of the Zoning Board of Appeals to limit the use of the structure to family members only. The Special Exception Use that's proposed clearly breaks the single-family residential continuity of Thora Blvd, and is inconsistent with the goals of the Historic Preservation Ordinance. Please reverse the decision of the Zoning Board of Appeals and deny this special exception use. Since I supplied the information to your Clerk of Council, I have another three signatures that oppose this particular structure and if you can look at the architectural renderings that were supplied again in my information, if you'll take a look at the birds' eye view of the residence, the only thing that exist on the property behind the house is a two-car garage. So on the schematic drawings there is a swimming pool, a bath house an arbor area that does not exist. The owners of property want to create enough space to put all that in there. So, any questions for me?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well, I would just like to say one thing, and this is something that I was asking Jeff Everson. For informational purposes, it's technically true about being part of the Historic Preservation Ordinance, but the neighborhood has not adopted any criteria. So, though it is governed by this ordinance, there is no criteria of which to judge it on based that in my comment is really for all of us who live within that neighborhood to urge our neighborhood association to pass some kind of code that it can be judged against. So, just for a technical reference, it is not - - - you could bring it to Preservation Historic (inaudible), but they don't have any code to judge it against.

Mr. McNeely: Correct, but it's the intent of the ordinance to follow this continuity concept.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Yes, I agree with that, I'm just saying that maybe there's things that maybe there's takeaways for all of us if we're interested in pursuing it.

Mr. McNeely: Any other questions? Thank you for your time.

Councilman Shyne: Not at this point and time. The other gentleman, we do not have a card for you, but please come forward and give us your name and - - -

Mr. John Lawrence: (960 Thora Blvd) I've lived on Thora Blvd for almost 20 years now, and as far as the historical perspective, I didn't know this until yesterday, but that actual part of Thora Blvd between Fairfield and down towards South Highlands is the oldest street, paved street in Shreveport. And as far as - - - and I look at this thing - - - you know there is our neighbors and the people that oppose it which is just about the home (inaudible), but in this particular case, I think the integrity and preservation of the neighborhood is forefront beyond any neighbor. Cause the neighbors are going to come and go on this street, but what's really important here is the aesthetic preservation, the historical perspective of the neighborhood and the stretch between Fairfield Avenue and down to Thornhill, there's about 12 houses on that stretch. And none of us oppose remodels, or additions to your house or those types of things, but this is basically in a nutshell, it's basically putting a house between two houses. If you look at the plans and the neighborhood - - - none of us want to look at that. That's not, it's going to kill the neighborhood, it's going to kill it forever. It's almost like destroying a natural forest, part of it, and you can't get that back once it happens, so thank you.

Councilman Shyne: You have any questions? Okay. Thank you. Okay, I believe we have come to - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: Let me ask, this is Mr. Roemer. He could speak now or we can take up the issue.

Councilman Shyne: Is it about this issue?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Same exact issue.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, we'll let him speak, although he didn't sign. Generally, we have a procedure that we try to follow, but we're going to - - -

Mr. Franklin Roemer: (935 Thora Blvd) I'm sorry Mr. Chairman, I was sitting at the house about ten minutes ago, watching on TV, and I was trying to follow the agenda, and I guess I slipped up somewhere along the way. So I (inaudible).

Councilman Webb: We're moving all around today, so since 4:00.

Mr. Roemer: But I appreciate the time and consideration. You know we've struggled with this for a long time. I don't know why we had such a neighborhood that was so against what we're trying to do, and I'll give you a little perspective. Been in the house 13 years, four kids ranging from 18-8. And so we're there and we want to be a part of the community there for a long time, and we want to invest in the neighborhood. And so, this particular house was built by the developer August Goldstein in 1929. And he had - - - you know where my house sits on the lot, it had the adjacent house which is Jack Schuman's house, as a part of the original lot and then moved the (inaudible) back to the adjacent street. So it went all the way through from Thora all the way to the back. And you can even see - - - from the upstairs balcony, you can see the old garage apartment that the driver used to have back in the '20s. And so as time went on, in (inaudible) Goldstein lost some of his money I guess, he started trading some of the lots on there as the depression went on. And so this lot became smaller and smaller. And then the next generation went through The Kings, they sold our next door neighbor lot, and we were left with a carved out piece of property that had no backyard. We had a huge front yard, huge side yard, but no backyard. And that's really the only thing that we're trying to do is move our existing (the past garage, oversized garage) and move it up to the back of our house. That's essentially the only thing that we're doing. Now changes are very difficult I know, we live in a very conservative neighborhood, doesn't want change on anything, but sometimes improvement is also good. And hopefully we can all live together, and what I'm trying to do there is put together something that looks like it was designed for the lot that I own now, that looks like it's always been there. So that's why I've engaged a (inaudible) architect to design this to make it look like it's been there, it's supposed to be there. And really we're just trying to get a backyard out of it and put a pool in for the kids. The bunkhouse on top of the garage came about when my wife, Christie, her mom has a similar arrangement at her house. And so we use it. The grandkids use it, the kids coming back for Christmas holidays. So I mean it's not a hugely important thing for us to have that accommodation, but that's really all it was. We're building a garage to fit three cars. We've got four drivers in the family and it actually fit in a back yard out of our house. And so we placed it at the back portion of our house that is over 120 feet from the curb, which is a lot further from most all of the garages whether it's on the side of the house or the back of the house. You know that's kind of the position that we have is we have over 100 feet - - - our house is in (inaudible) but we have a whole lot of side and front yard, and we're trying to get a backyard out of it. So, any questions?

Councilman O. Jenkins: I don't have any. He and I have spoken.

Councilman Shyne: Okay, if it's your desire, I will - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: I would like to since everybody's here and they've been here long enough, I'd like to make a motion to suspend the rules and take up BAC-35-14.

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin to suspend the rules to consider BAC-35-14. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

Metropolitan Planning Commission Appeals and Zoning Board of Appeals

BAC 35-14 – South side of Thora Blvd., 150' west of Thornhill Avenue, Shreveport, LA, Mr. Tom Neely, 911 Thora Blvd, Shreveport, LA 71106 (C/O. Jenkins)

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin to modify the decision of the Zoning Board of Appeals as follows:

1. The Zoning Board of Appeals approval of the secondary residential structure above the garage is reversed.
2. A variance is granted in the maximum size and number of detached accessory structures to permit addition of a 1-story 3-car garage and an enclosed bathroom in a portion of the open air pool house; the size of the garage and bathroom shall not exceed a total of 945 square feet.
3. Approval is subject to compliance with the following stipulation: Development of the property shall be in substantial accord with a revised site plan showing the 1-story 3-car garage and open air pool house. This plan shall be submitted to and approved by the Planning Director. Any significant changes or additions shall require further review and approval by the Zoning Board of Appeals. No permits shall be issued until the revised site plan has been approved by the Planning Director or Zoning Board of Appeals.

Councilman Shyne: You've heard the motion, and the second. Any further discussion at this point?

Councilman O. Jenkins: I have some. Okay, first thing, Stephen Jean, Currently 450 square foot accessory structure does not require ZBA approval. Is that correct?

Mr. Jean: That's correct.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, so for instance, let's not even a requirement on a variance, the variance that I'm giving them is two structures.

Mr. Jean: Well actually, all the structures cannot exceed 450 square feet, so you still have to grade the variance on 100 square foot.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Okay, so I only granting that variance. My intent here is for those that haven't looked at this site plan closely, you got a secondary structure that is predominantly open air, but there is a bathroom that is enclosed. And so that's why it requires a second secondary accessory structure. So that's the intent of that. The intent on that garage is to keep it at 450 square foot as if that was the only structure that he was presenting. So he does not require a variance. That's my intent. Now I realize the fact that there's two of 'em requires a variance, but that's the intent. Now, I certainly will entertain discussion from anybody that's interested in talking about it at this time.

Councilman Webb: I wanted to inquire if I may Mr. Chairman, it was mentioned by one of the gentlemen that lives out there something about he was over the, 10 feet over the (inaudible).

Councilman Everson: It looks like this, the proposed 2-story garage goes right up to the setback on what I'm looking at.

Councilman Webb: Yeah, I'm looking at that too. So that's what - - - yeah the setback, so would the MPC be approving him being over the setback?

Councilman O. Jenkins: And he can answer this question, it's a technical issue. (Inaudible) agree or disagree there is (inaudible).

Mr. Jean: I'm not aware of any (inaudible) to the setback from what I can do in analyzing that plan. He allowed to by (inaudible) to have projections that exceed into the setback. There's a section in the ordinance that talks about that, if you have a roof overhangs (inaudible) of that nature or allowed to extend into the required setback distance. It's not counted as an actual encroachment. All that's required is the two story structure is 10 feet. And it's showing on that plan as you will see that the corner of the building is right at the 10 feet. The packets you may have - - - additional encroachments and width lines is allowed for the elements. The parking or drive that you may see, it goes into the area is allowed because it is not a structure. So the only encroachment that I'm aware of is the (inaudible) setback.

Councilman Everson: So the parking is not an encroachment, and then that's the entry way. And this goes up to, I'm just trying to understand the site plan a little better. I've looked at it, but there's so many moving parts, it's a little hard to get a picture in my head of what this looks like. This driveway that comes into the garage that was originally proposed with the residence on top, that comes directly from Thora, right? And then there's parking at the side, and this is on the, I guess if you're looking at it, it's the western side of the - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: Eastern side, but the left hand side, you're correct. It's on the south side of the street so, okay.

Councilman Everson: And then I guess I'm just trying to picture the lot because - - - so the opening and the pool are on the other side and those are at the back of the property, right? Okay. Sorry.

Mr. Jean: And you see what Councilman Oliver Jenkins was speaking of, is you notice that it says proposed open air pool house, and so the area there is actually enclosed is where the restroom. It's hard to see at that scale.

Councilman Everson: That small dark - - -

Mr. Jean: Right. That's the area that we - - - and basically if this structure is submitted with a 450 square foot gross between the two accessory structures, it would be in compliance. But it's a little confusing, is what you're looking at here is right now, is considered a secondary residential as it was approved by the ZBA because it has the apartment space above. So when we had to look at this again, we began to say, okay, well you have a - - - this garage now becomes an accessory structure, and you can't have more than 450 foot total of accessory structure on the property so (inaudible) the way it's being proposed in this motion, is you would have a variance of 100 feet, which would account for the enclosed space that we talked about a moment ago.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And then Oliver's motion was to basically allow the garage, but not the apartment above? Is that what you were saying?

Councilman O. Jenkins: Well, I'm not allowing a residential secondary structure. Now if they choose, that's a little bit different, there's some latitude there.

Councilman Shyne: Jean, I'm taking into consideration that the MPC Board had a chance to go and look at this in person?

Mr. Jean: The ZBA. Well, I will tell you sir that we used to have those bus tours and you do recall that. What we do now, we actually do take photographs of those sites and they have real time photographs that they look at, but they don't actually go to each site as you once recall. But

that was a (inaudible) practice over the years, that was very sparsely attended. We've talked to the Boards about being reinstating that, so we do other methods. We use satellite technology, we use our staff members to go out and take photographs, and they actually have photographs that they look at. So they're able to see what's going on, but they weren't actually stepping foot on the property. And some of them, I would say many of our members actually take it upon themselves to go by. I couldn't tell you unequivocally that each member actually saw the site, but I know that a number of them were familiar with it.

Councilman Shyne: Jean, I would hope that situations that are this important, and seems like we got so much technicality with it, the members will take time to go out and look at this in person. I know (inaudible) and looked at this in person, because this one impacts the lives of people and this is very important. And I would hope that I don't know whether the CAO would have anything to do with it, but I would hope that in the future that you would encourage and bear some expense Mr. CAO, I would hope that we would look at it and see if we couldn't appropriate some funds so that these people could go out and they would be in a position to make an intelligent decision. Because I know if I was involved in this, you know I'd like for you to come out and look at it. You know? Photographs and maps are good, but we're here to provide services for our citizens. And I think we need to look at that. And I'm not blaming you for this, because it probably stopped even before you moved into where you are. But let me stop you one minute. I saw a hand back there, and I know it's very important to you. Would you come up and we don't generally do this, but I know it's important to you, come up and see if you understood.

Mr. Tom McNeely: I want to see if I understand what Mr. Jenkins said, alright? So you approving the bath house as - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: As, I mean I just frankly that to me is - - - should have such little impact that I (inaudible) somebody's gonna - - -

Mr. McNeely: I'm just trying to figure it all out. And then you're approving a garage of a maximum size of 450 square feet?

Councilman O. Jenkins: As the ordinance allows. That is not really subject to a variance at all.

Mr. McNeely: No, so everything sounds good to me.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Now I don't know how it sounds to Mr. Roemer back there, but - - -

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Roemer, speak now or forever hold your peace, as you're getting married to this.

Mr. Roemer: So 450 square feet? That's less than half right now, which is I'm looking for a three-car garage on the side of my - - - the back side of my property that is located well back from just about everybody else's garage, and done in a very professional way, I'm willing to concede if I need to the apartment, which was basically a bunk house for future grandkids, I may not have any grandkids, so that's the biggest deal in the world. It's more important to my wife and (inaudible) you may save me some money. But yeah, I'm interested in having a three-car garage.

Councilman Webb: How many square foot would a three-car garage be?

Mr. Roemer: I think it was 840 square feet.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And let me - - - in all seriousness, so we're clear and I want to make this clear. Franklin, I consider my friend. I mean we have kids the same age. This is not an easy decision. And I say that to these residents in the beginning. I just think there is a lot of merit about what is currently existing on that street and how everybody is set up. And I think in this case other than the bath house, which I don't really think is an impediment to the future growth or character of the street. I do feel a structure of this size at 850 square feet is a significant change.

Now I will tell you, the risk is and this is something I shared with him is the moment it attaches itself to the house, it's out of our hands. Okay? And I know that's gonna cost you obviously a lot more expense and it's a whole different dynamic for you. But I think to keep us in a manner in which we are consistent in how we see things here, we need to stay within the constraints of all ordinances granting exceptions when they're in my opinion, not really significant or impact. So that's the nature of why I've come up with this motion, and as you can tell by it, I've spent a fair amount of time on how to draft it, because it is not an easy deal. But that's how I got to where I am, and you know I think the opportunity you know as part of that criteria in the end, getting back in front of the MPC staff or the ZBA as appropriate, you know I think there's some opportunity to discuss what you can do in line with our ordinances. So that's the nature of my motion, though I do understand this is not exactly what you would have (inaudible). But you know try to be - - - stay as detached from this as possible. And I do live about 250 feet away from this house, so I'm quite familiar with it.

Mr. Roemer: Yeah, so Oliver is against me too, it looks like.

Councilman O. Jenkins: No, I'm not against you. I'm saying I'm for this particular proposal.

Mr. Roemer: Yeah, so basically I mean it kinda runs in some - - - I'm giving I think you know - - - the idea again is to have a back yard. If you come by Thora anyway, I've got a soccer goal in the front yard. I've got all my kids out playing every day. They were there last night til 9:00. And I'm the guy in the front yard. Because I love the front yard, but I would also like the opportunity to be in the back yard sometimes. Right now, if you look at the site plan, put a garage back there and a driveway, and there is not backyard. That's where I am today. So all I'm doing is I'm moving what I have up to the side of my house and I'm conceding to take down the structure so it's a lot smaller. That's what I'm trying to do. And then if I can't do that, then I get into this area of you know, why don't I just attach it. It's not that hard to attach it. And I get what I want. And I'm trying to be thoughtful and careful about - - - I didn't know I would have all this angst with my neighbors, which I need to go visit with them about as well, and I don't want to upset the entire apple cart here. But, yeah there's a way around the situation for us, but we're trying to be thoughtful. And it looks a lot better detached than it does attached. That's really the only reason, it's not a cost thing. It looks a lot better on the site. Because if you look at my house right now, it's on the extreme western side of the property. Because they sold the lot. And there was a house that is five feet from my property line that's 3000 square foot house that is right there. So I have 100 feet on the other side, I'd like to just put my garage there and have a little bit of a back yard. So again, I understand your position Oliver, and I appreciate it. So.

Councilman O. Jenkins: By the way now I'm not an architect, I did a little handy measuring here. 450 feet can work for a three-car garage. Now, it's not going to be palatial, but it is a possibility looking at these ones online. Now, I'm not the architect, I'm not saying it fixes all your problems, I'm just saying there is potential for it.

Mr. Roemer: Okay. Well, I appreciate it.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Roemer, you seem to be a great father like Dale Sibley over there. I've been told that he's out in the front yard playing soccer and basketball with his eight kids.

Mr. Sibley: Grandkids

Councilman Shyne: He and his grandkids. Thank you Mr. Roemer. Councilmen are you ready for us to - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: My motion is out there.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

Councilman Shyne: Ladies and gentlemen, we appreciate you all coming down and this is what democracy is all about. It's not easy, but it's the best in the world. You won't - - I don't care where you go, you can't beat democracy. Although we can't make the Iraqis and those people over there to agree to it. But this is the best in the world. Appreciate you all coming down. You all are the people that keep us here and Shreveport is about doing what's right for our citizens. Sometimes it's a little give and take and some will go home and not really be satisfied, and some will go home and be satisfied, but we try to do our best and this is the best form of government that I know anywhere. God bless you, thank you, come back again. Alright. Mr. Thompson, you've got a very sharp mind, so let's move back into

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Shyne, I believe we're about to move into adopting legislation.

Mr. Sibley: Confirmations and appointments.

Councilman Shyne: Okay.

Confirmation and Appointments:

Assistant City Engineer, Department of Engineering and Environmental Services
Mr. Patrick. Furlong, P.E.

Motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to confirm the executive appointment of Mr. Patrick Furlong, Professional Engineer.

Executive Appointment for Confirmation:

Assistant City Engineer, Department of Engineering and Environmental Services
Mr. Patrick. Furlong, P.E.

Mr. Sibley: We'd like to call him forward.

Councilman Shyne: Please, please.

Councilman O. Jenkins: He spoke to us yesterday. We've seen the quality of his work, and his patience.

Mr. Sibley: Mr. Chairman if you will, Robert will give a little background on Patrick.

Mr. Robert Westerman: Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman, members of the Council. I'm here to seek the confirmation of the appointment of Patrick Furlong, as the Assistant City Engineer for the City of Shreveport. Patrick is a graduate of LSU in Baton Rouge in Engineering. He received his license/certification. He's allowed to practice engineering in the state of Louisiana. He's been doing so for approximately 10 years in various functions. The last 3 ½ years, he's been employed with the City of Shreveport. During his 3 ½ years, he's worked very closely with Ali Mustapha of who y'all are very familiar with. Patrick has recently completed his training in certification as a Flood Plane Administrator. Is that correct? That is correct. Over his 3 ½ years I think that Patrick has demonstrated the ability and the character to handle the duties and responsibilities of an Assistant City Engineer. Therefore, I'd like to - - - it is my honor to present him to y'all for confirmation.

Mr. Patrick Furlong: Mr. Chairman, members of the Council. Thank you for considering me for this appointment. Mr. Mayor, Mr. Sibley, thank you for making the appointment, I appreciate it. I have been here for about 3 ½ years working for the City, I've enjoyed it. I was born and raised here in Shreveport. Appreciate Robert's support and his mentoring, and Ali's mentoring, and are there any questions?

Councilman Shyne: Patrick, we love to have you. Let's vote.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

Consent Agenda Legislation

To Introduce Routine Ordinances and Resolutions

Resolutions: None.

Ordinances: None.

To Adopt Ordinances and Resolutions

Resolutions:

The Clerk read the following:

RESOLUTION NO. 104 of 2014

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING LEE ANN MARANTO AT 1114 LAUREL CREEK DRIVE TO CONNECT TO THE WATER & SEWER SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, Lee Ann Maranto has agreed to secure all permits and inspections required by the Shreveport Comprehensive Building Code. Said party having submitted a petition for annexation to the City of Shreveport, and having agreed to fully comply with the regulations of the City of Shreveport in connection with said property, all as set forth in Section 94-1, et. Seq., of the Shreveport City Code. Said request and petition are attached hereto.

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened, that Lee Ann Maranto be authorized to connect the structure, located at 1114 Laurel Creek Drive to the water & sewer system of the City of Shreveport.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

Ordinances: None.

Regular Agenda Legislation

Resolutions on Second Reading and Final Passage or Which Will Require Only One Reading

The Clerk read the following:

RESOLUTION NO. 96 OF 2014

A RESOLUTION AFFIRMING THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT'S ENDORSEMENT OF HOME FEDERAL BANK TO PARTICIPATE IN THE BENEFITS OF THE LOUISIANA RESTORATION TAX ABATEMENT PROGRAM AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, on November 26, 2013, the Shreveport City Council adopted Resolution No. 208 of 2013 which stated the City of Shreveport's endorsement of Home Federal Bank to participate in the benefits of the Louisiana Restoration Tax Abatement Program for property located at 624 Market Street, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana; and

WHEREAS, the legislation contained erroneous information on the amount of the ad valorem taxes that would be abated both per year and over the five (5) year period of the tax abatement ("tax abatement period") for the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, the Caddo Parish School Board ("CPSB") and the Downtown Development Authority ("DDA"); and

WHEREAS, the amount of the taxes to be abated annually and over the tax abatement period has been corrected; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport desires to promote economic activity, create and retain job opportunities, and improve the tax base throughout the City for the benefit of all citizens.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the City Council hereby affirms its approval of the Home Federal Bank application 2011-0790 for participation in the Louisiana Restoration Tax Abatement Program.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to the end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

RESOLUTION NO. 97 OF 2014

A RESOLUTION AFFIRMING THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT'S ENDORSEMENT OF PETROLEUM BUILDING, LLC TO PARTICIPATE IN THE BENEFITS OF THE LOUISIANA RESTORATION TAX ABATEMENT PROGRAM AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, on May 13, 2014, the Shreveport City Council adopted Resolution No. 70 of 2014 which stated the City of Shreveport's endorsement of Petroleum Building, LLC to participate in the benefits of the Louisiana Restoration Tax Abatement Program for property located at 619 Market Street, Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana; and

WHEREAS, the legislation contained erroneous information on the amount of the ad valorem taxes that would be abated both per year and over the five (5) year period of the tax abatement ("tax abatement period") for the City of Shreveport, Caddo Parish, the Caddo Parish School Board ("CPSB") and the Downtown Development Authority ("DDA"); and

WHEREAS, the amount of the taxes to be abated annually and over the tax abatement period has been corrected; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport desires to promote economic activity, create and retain job opportunities, and improve the tax base throughout the City for the benefit of all citizens.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the City Council hereby affirms its approval of the Petroleum Building, LLC application 2012-1002 for participation in the Louisiana Restoration Tax Abatement Program.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to the end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

RESOLUTION NO. 102 OF 2014

A RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE USE OF CERTAIN EQUIPMENT BY URBAN SUPPORT AGENCY AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, the City desires to participate in programs which directly benefit all citizens of the city including but not limited to programs and activities which provide wholesome activities which serve to benefit the educational community; and

WHEREAS, Urban Support Agency, Inc. (“Urban Support”), a duly organized Louisiana 501 (c) (3) non-profit corporation intends to host “Fun Day 2014” on June 19, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the activity is open to the general public; and

WHEREAS, Urban Support Agency has requested the use of certain city owned equipment; and

WHEREAS, the activity will provide educational opportunities, mentoring programs, and other activities for persons attending the event which activities provide a benefit to the general public and serve a public purpose.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the use of city-owned tables and chairs by Urban Support Agency, Inc., on June 19, 2014 for “Fun Day 2014” is hereby ratified subject to the condition that Urban Support Agency, Inc. shall execute an indemnity and hold harmless agreement in favor of the City of Shreveport in a form acceptable to the Office of the City Attorney prior to use of the equipment.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or application, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

RESOLUTION NUMBER 103 OF 2014

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE A DONATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT AND JUNIPER BUILDERS, INC., HEREINAFTER CALLED "OWNER" AND ACTING HEREIN THROUGH WILLIAM L. MAYFIELD, MANAGER, FOR THE PRIVATE WATER AND SEWER IMPROVEMENTS FOR LONG LAKE SUBDIVISION UNIT NO. 21 AND RELATED FACILITIES AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, Juniper Builders Inc., hereinafter called "OWNER" and acting herein through David M. Alexander, Manager, desires to donate to the City of Shreveport the private water and sewer improvements for Long Lake Subdivision Unit No. 21 and related facilities; and

WHEREAS, these improvements have been installed under the inspection of personnel from the Office of the City Engineer, reviewed by the Shreveport Fire Department, and determined to meet all city codes and specifications; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened, that Cedric B. Glover, Mayor, be and is hereby authorized to execute on behalf of the City of Shreveport a Donation Agreement with Juniper Builders, Inc., represented by William L. Mayfield, Manager, substantially in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in the draft of said agreement which was filed for public inspection with the original draft of the resolution in the office of the City Council on June 10, 2014.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provisions or items of this resolution or the application thereof are held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

RESOLUTION NUMBER 105 OF 2014

A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE CITY'S INTEREST IN CERTAIN ADJUDICATED PROPERTY AS SURPLUS AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, there are numerous parcels of property which have been adjudicated to the City of Shreveport and Caddo Parish for non-payment of ad valorem taxes; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has entered into an intergovernmental agreement with Caddo

Parish under which Caddo Parish will undertake to sell said properties as authorized in R.S. 47:2201-2211, and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 26-300 of the Code of Ordinances, the city's interests in said properties can be sold after the City Council declares them to be surplus; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has an adjudicated tax interest in the properties described in Attachment "A-10" for the non-payment of City property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the purchasing agent has inquired of all City departments regarding the properties described in Attachment "A-10" and has not received any indication that they are needed for city purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the properties described in Attachment "A-10" are hereby declared surplus.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to Section 26-301 of the Code of Ordinances, this declaration that these properties are surplus satisfies the requirement of Section 26-301(1)(d), therefore the MAYOR, Cedric B. Glover, is authorized by said Section 26-301 to do any and all things and to sign any and all documents, including Acts of Cash Sale, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney, necessary to effectuate the purposes set forth herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman McCulloch, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

RESOLUTION NUMBER 106 OF 2014

A RESOLUTION DECLARING THE CITY'S INTEREST IN CERTAIN ADJUDICATED PROPERTIES AS SURPLUS AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, there are numerous parcels of property which have been adjudicated to the City of Shreveport for non-payment of ad valorem taxes; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 26-300 of the Code of Ordinances, the city's interests in said properties can be sold after the City Council declares them to be surplus; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shreveport has an Adjudicated tax interest in the properties described in Attachment

"A-5" for non-payment of City Property taxes; and

WHEREAS, the purchasing agent has inquired of all city departments regarding the properties described in

Attachment "A-5" and has not received any indication that it is needed for City purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, regular and legal session convened that the properties described in Attachment "A-5" are hereby declared surplus.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to Section 26-301 of the Code of Ordinances, this

declaration that these properties are surplus satisfies the requirement of Section 26-301 (1) (d), therefore the MAYOR, Cedric B. Glover, is authorized by said Section 26-301 to do any and all things and to sign any and all documents, including Acts of Cash Sale, in a form acceptable to the City Attorney, necessary to effectuate the purposes set forth herein.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items, or applications, and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

RESOLUTION NO 107 OF 2014

A RESOLUTION REJECTING BIDS RECEIVED FOR IFB#14-035 AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, two (2) bids were received as a result of solicitations for, IFB #14-035; and;
WHEREAS, the City has rejected these bids in accordance with La R.S. 38:2214 B, because; one of the bids was non-responsive and the other exceeded the budget estimate by \$100,000.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that the bids received on IFB #14-035 be rejected;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby declared repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

RESOLUTION NO. 109 OF 2014

A RESOLUTION FINDING AND DETERMINING THE NECESSITY AND ADVISABILITY OF AMENDING THE ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION OF THE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT BOARD OF THE CITY OF SHREVEPORT, LOUISIANA, INC.; AUTHORIZING THE AMENDMENT OF SAID ARTICLES; APPROVING THE FORM OF THE AMENDMENT OF SAID ARTICLES; AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the creation of the Industrial Development Board of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, Inc., ("Board") was authorized by Resolution No. 150 of 1981 adopted by the Shreveport City Council on June 29, 1981 pursuant to the authority of LSA-R.S. 51:1151 et seq (the "Act"); and

WHEREAS, Article IV of the Board's Articles of Incorporation state that the corporation is organized for the limited purpose of constructing a 535 space parking garage in Shreveport, Caddo Parish, Louisiana and for acquiring tracts of real property, entering into joint development, operating and other agreements with Caddo Parish and other governmental units, and doing all other things necessary for the purpose of the establishment, development and operation of a North Shreveport Industrial Park ("Industrial Park"); and

WHEREAS, the Board still retains its interest in the Industrial Park but has conveyed its interest in the parking garage; and

WHEREAS, the Board desires to amend Article IV of the Articles of Incorporation in order to exercise all power and authority granted to industrial development boards by the Act; and

WHEREAS, amendments to the Articles of Incorporation of industrial development boards are authorized by LSA-R.S. 51:1155 subject to approval of the amendments by the governing body of the municipality of the industrial development board; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of LSA-R.S. 51:1155, the Board has filed a written application with the City Council, the governing body of the City of Shreveport, seeking permission to amend the Articles of Incorporation, which application, together with the proposed Amendment to Articles of Incorporation is attached to and made a part of this Resolution as Exhibit "B"; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has considered such application and the proposed Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation attached thereto, and has found and determined that it is wise, expedient, necessary and advisable that the proposed amendment be made and now desire to authorize the Board to proceed to amend the Articles of Incorporation in accordance

-4-

with the provisions of Title 12 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950, as amended; and

WHEREAS, the City Council further finds and determines that the Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation is in the best interests of the City of Shreveport and the citizens thereof.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, in due, legal and regular session convened that it is hereby found and determined that it is wise, expedient, necessary and advisable that the Articles of Incorporation of the Industrial Development Board of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, Inc., be amended in accordance with the proposed amendment, as set forth in Exhibit "B", attached hereto and made a part hereof, and that the written application of the Board of Directors of the Industrial Development Board of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, Inc., seeking permission to amend the said Articles of Incorporation be and the same is hereby approved.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a certified copy of this Resolution shall be furnished to the Board of Directors of the Industrial Development Board of the City of Shreveport, Louisiana, Inc., and the same shall constitute authority for the said Board of Directors to proceed to amend the Articles of Incorporation in accordance with the provisions of the preceding paragraph of this Resolution and in accordance with the provisions of LSA-R.S. 51:1155 and Title 12 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes of 1950, as amended.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Mayor of the City of Shreveport is hereby authorized, directed and empowered to do all acts, sign any and all instruments or documents and to do all things necessary and proper to carry out the purpose of this Resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if any provision or item of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or

applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I wanted a little more clarification on the (inaudible)

Mr. Sibley: We do have Mr. Darren Dixon representing IDP here today.

Councilman Everson: Well I'll say as he makes his way up here, I do think this represents a step towards another great tool on our economic tool belts.

Councilman Shyne: Dale the two of you all kinda favor a little bit, both of y'all got blue eyes.

Mayor Glover: Mr. Chairman and members of the Council, Darren represents one of the folks who was actually in attendance at that secret (inaudible) meeting that was held two weeks ago today.

Councilman Shyne: Darren, we're not going to get you involved in that. Go head on.

Mr. Dixon: Well, I wanted to comment on the catfish we had.

Councilman Shyne: Yeah, go head on.

Mr. Dixon: I represent the IDB Board. In reference to the resolution you have before you. We saw this as good tool for the city and economic development purposes to entice, encouragement business growth throw being able to do more things traditionally, and I've just been on the board a few months, or less than a year, but traditionally, we just take care of the North Shreveport park and a parking garage. But the articles and the revised statutes give us a whole lot more latitude to do things and I guess present certain tactics to entice economic development. And we want to be able to do that for the city.

Councilman Shyne: Thank you. You're doing a fine job.

Councilman Everson: Sam, did you get your question answered? I'll just say just briefly, in finding out what various economic development institutions. It is relatively uncommon to have Industrial Development Board cause activity is limited to an industrial park, generally the boundaries are the city- - - the same boundaries that the city has. And so, allowing it to do that allows the Industrial Development Board to act as the catalyst for things that could pilot (inaudible) programs that would provide incentives for development and things like that. So, My understanding is that this would make some adjustments to make the downgrade outside of the industrial park, and expand it to the city, which is common in most industrial development (inaudible).

Mayor Glover: Industrial Development Boards as they are generally enacted end up having a jurisdiction that covers the boundaries of whichever particular agency or entity has reinstated it. To the City of Shreveport, that would under other circumstances would be the city limits or boundaries of the City of Shreveport or Caddo Parish, is the boundaries of Caddo Parish and other cities that are similar. When the City of Shreveport enacted or stood up its Industrial Development Board in 1981, it limited those activities specifically to the North Shreveport Industrial Park, up off Hilry Huckaby Drive. And to a 525 or 535 parking garage, which they've since divested themselves of. I guess to the DFDC maybe, I'm not quite sure. But it's no longer one of the activities. So at this point, the activities or the jurisdiction of the Shreveport Industrial Board is limited to the North Shreveport Industrial Park. We do that jointly with Caddo Parish and their Industrial Development Board. The desire is to as the statute affords, based upon the actions of the

governing body to broaden that area of jurisdiction to match up with the city limits and boundaries of Shreveport.

Councilman S. Jenkins: And they can do projects throughout the - - -

Mayor Glover: Throughout the entirety of the City.

Councilman S. Jenkins: (Inaudible) that one area?

Mayor Glover: Correct.

Councilman S. Jenkins: Just give me an example, what kind of activity are we talking about? What kind of - - - is it just business projects? Can someone answer - - -

Mayor Glover: You can do what Jeff made reference to one of the mechanisms that could be utilized that's called (inaudible), a payment in lieu of taxes, where you could end up being able to use the Industrial Development Board as the actual entity that ends up being the mechanism by which that particular economic development tool is utilized. If you don't have that in place, you don't have that entity that can step up and serve that purpose. If you have an area where you want to create a specific jurisdiction of some sort for some sort of an economic development purpose, if you don't have the Industrial Development Board activated to do that, then the City Council by extension would have to ultimately end up coming up with some other mechanism of doing it. And so, what this does is give us the ability to use in an Industrial Development Board, parallel example for Caddo Parish was that their Industrial Development Board that was utilized as the entity to purchase the old GM facility. Absent having that mechanism in place, then the Parish would have had to create some other one, through whatever the statutes would have been available to them. But because they have the Caddo Parish Industrial Development Board in place, they were able to do that. I think they are also the ones who own the Industrial Development Park up in for North Caddo Parish. That's on the overseen by the Industrial Development Board. It gives you the means and mechanisms for using all those economic development tools without being something that's being done directly and specifically by, in this case, the City of Shreveport or the Parish of Caddo.

Councilman Shyne: Mr. Mayor, I would hope that you would invite Councilman Sam Jenkins to the next meeting.

Mayor Glover: Absolutely.

Councilman Shyne: And y'all give him a (inaudible)

Councilman S. Jenkins: I'm not sure I want to come to that next meeting.

Mayor Glover: I'm going to be sure I invite Mr. Pesnell. Cause I think Darren may have been right It may have been the fried fish that we offered that set off the firestorm of controversies.

Councilman Shyne: Well, I think it would be - - -

Mayor Glover: And Mr. Pesnell, like me, obviously enjoys a good meal.

Councilman Shyne: Well, Mayor I guess you're basing that on his waist size.

Mayor Glover: Well now, we both look like we know our way around.

Councilman Shyne: I'm not going to comment on that. Please invite Mr. Sam Jenkins to the next meeting and Sam it would be quite informational for you.

Councilman S. Jenkins: I may take you up on that Mr. Chairman.

Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

Introduction of Resolutions (Not to be adopted prior to July 8, 2014)

Introduction of Ordinances (Not to be adopted prior to July 8, 2014)

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 67 of 2014**: Amending the 2014 General Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Street Sweep Program*)
2. **Ordinance No. 68 of 2014**: Amending and replacing Ordinance No. 106 of 2012, a Yield Intersection to a Stop Intersection, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (B/Everson)
3. **Ordinance No. 69 of 2014**: Amending the 2014 Capital Improvements Budget, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Existing Utility Project*)

Read by title and as read, motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman McCulloch to introduce Ordinance No(s). 67, 68, and 69 of 2014 to lay over until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

Ordinances on Second Reading and Final Passage (Numbers are assigned Ordinance Numbers)

The Clerk read the following:

1. **Ordinance No. 52 of 2014**: An ordinance authorizing the Mayor to execute a contract with Dr. David Clemons to grant access and permission to plant and maintain Live Oak trees on the east right of way of Creswell Avenue, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed June 10, 2014*)

Having passed first reading on May 13, 2014 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 6. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: Councilman McCulloch. 1. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

2. **Ordinance No. 53 of 2014**: An ordinance amending the 2014 Capital Improvements Budget and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Postponed June 10, 2014*)

Having passed first reading on May 13, 2014 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to postpone until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 1. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: Councilwoman McCulloch. 1. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

3. **Ordinance No. 61 of 2014**: An ordinance amending the 2014 Community Development Special Revenue Fund Budget and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*ESG Funds*)

Having passed first reading on June 10, 2014 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Everson, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen Everson, O. Jenkins, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 5. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: Councilmen McCulloch and Corbin. 2. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

4. **Ordinance No. 62 of 2014**: An ordinance repealing part of Ordinance No. 9 of 2007 which created a four-way stop intersection at Danfield Court/Helmsdale Court and Stonehaven Drive and to enact the intersection of Danfield Court/Helmsdale Court and Stonehaven Drive as a two-way stop intersection and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (E/Webb)

Having passed first reading on June 10, 2014 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Webb, seconded by Councilman S. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

5. **Ordinance No. 63 of 2014**: An ordinance amending the 2014 General Fund Budget and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 10, 2014 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Shyne, seconded by Councilmen S. Jenkins and Everson to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

6. **Ordinance No. 64 of 2014**: An ordinance authorizing the Mayor to execute all necessary legal instruments to effect the exchange of certain immovable property owned by the City of Shreveport for certain immovable property owned by Louisiana CVS Pharmacy, L.L.C., Repealing Ordinance No. 35 of 2014, and otherwise providing with respect thereto. (*Not to be adopted prior to July 8, 2014*)

Having passed first reading on June 10, 2014 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin to postpone until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

Councilman O. Jenkins: Mr. Chairman, I think we may need to go back to No. 63, because I believe there is an amendment, or maybe there isn't.

Councilmen McCulloch and S. Jenkins: It is an amendment.

Mr. Thompson: I didn't think there was an amendment.

Councilman O. Jenkins: So maybe it's not. And I don't know, I'm just - - -

Councilman Shyne: What could the amendment be?

Mr. Sibley: From City Court rehab. I assume it was attached because that was on there. I just looked at it. But City Court is moving some money around to do some projects around their building, no additional funds, none from the city, but some internal movement

Mr. Thompson: Okay, so this needs to be reconsidered and to then consider the amendment.

Councilman O. Jenkins: And that's all I was asking. But you want a motion right now on 64 to postpone, yeah? Okay, I'll make a motion to postpone.

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Corbin to reconsider Ordinance No. 63 of 014. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

5. **Ordinance No. 63 of 2014**: An ordinance amending the 2014 General Fund Budget and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

Having passed first reading on June 10, 2014 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilmen Corbin.

Amendment 1 to Ordinance 63 of 2014

AMEND THE ORDINANCE AS FOLLOWS:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts)

Increase Other Income by \$50,200

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

In City Courts – Improvements and Equipment by \$50,200

EXPLANATION OF AMENDMENT:

Increase Improvements and Equipment to allow additional areas to be carpeted as part of work being done in City Court Building. All costs are being funded by City Courts

Motion by Councilman O. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Ordinance No. 63 of 2014. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

Motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Everson to adopt Ordinance No. 63 of 2014 as amended. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

7. **Ordinance No. 65 of 2014**: An ordinance amending the 2014 Capital Improvements Budget and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Airfield Directional Guidance Sign Replacement*)

Having passed first reading on June 10, 2014 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman S. Jenkins, seconded by Councilman O. Jenkins to adopt. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S.

Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

8. **Ordinance No. 66 of 2014:** An ordinance authorizing an amendment to the agreement and lease with MBI Global, L. L. C., and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (*Not to be adopted prior to July 8, 2014*)

Having passed first reading on June 10, 2014 was read by title, and on motion, ordered passed to third reading. Read the third time in full and as read motion by Councilman Corbin, seconded by Councilman Everson to postpone until the next regular meeting. Motion approved by the following vote: Ayes: Councilmen McCulloch, Everson, O. Jenkins, Corbin, Webb, Shyne, and S. Jenkins. 7. Nays: 0. Out of the Chamber: 0. Absent: 0. Abstentions: 0.

The adopted ordinances and amendments follow:

ORDINANCE NO. 52 of 2014

An Ordinance authorizing the Mayor to Execute a contract with Dr. David Clemons to grant access and permission to plant and maintain live oak trees on the east right of way of Creswell Avenue, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.

WHEREAS the City of Shreveport owns and maintains the right of way for Creswell Avenue inside the City of Shreveport, and

WHEREAS Dr. David Clemons has offered at his own expense to plant and maintain live oak trees along the right of way, and

WHEREAS it is desirable that the trees be planted for the beautification of Creswell Avenue

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that:

The Mayor is authorized to execute the attached contract allowing Dr. David Clemons to plant and maintain live oak trees along Creswell Avenue.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision of this resolution or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this resolution which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this resolution are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 61 OF 2014

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2014 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SPECIAL REVENUE FUND BUDGET AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2014 budget for the Community Development Special Revenue Fund, to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance Number 123 of 2013, the 2014 budget for the Community Development Special Revenue Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 1. (Estimated Receipts):

2013 and Prior Year Funds:

Increase Prior-Year – Section 108 By \$ 200,000

Increase Prior Year – Federal ESG By 25,000

Fiscal Year 2014 Funds:

Increase State ESG By 122,400

In Section 2. (Appropriations):

2013 and Prior Year Funds:

Increase Prior Year – Section 108 By \$ 200,000

Increase Prior Year – Federal ESG By 25,000

Fiscal Year 2014 Funds:

Increase Com Dev Admin By \$ 2,400

Increase State ESG BY 120,000

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof shall be held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or portions thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 62 OF 2014

AN ORDINANCE TO REPEAL PART OF ORDINANCE NO. 9 OF 2007 WHICH CREATED A FOUR-WAY STOP INTERSECTION AT DANFIELD COURT/HELMSDALE COURT AND STONEHAVEN DRIVE AND TO ENACT THE INTERSECTION OF DANFIELD COURT/HELMSDALE COURT AND STONEHAVEN DRIVE AS A TOWAY STOP INTERSECTION AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport in due, legal and regular session convened that Section II of Ordinance No. 9 of 2014, which created a four-way stop intersection at Danfield Court/Helmsdale Court and Stonehaven Drive is hereby repealed. The intersection of Danfield Court/Helmsdale Court and Stonehaven Drive is hereby created and established as a two-way stop intersection requiring that all traffic and vehicles entering from Danfield Court/Helmsdale Court shall come to a full stop before entering the intersection.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications and to this end the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or resolutions or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Amendment 1 to Ordinance 63 of 2014

AMEND THE ORDINANCE AS FOLLOWS:

In Section 1 (Estimated Receipts)

Increase Other Income by \$50,200

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

In City Courts – Improvements and Equipment by \$50,200

EXPLANATION OF AMENDMENT:

Increase Improvements and Equipment to allow additional areas to be carpeted as part of work

being done in City Court Building. All costs are being funded by City Courts

ORDINANCE NO. 63 OF 2014

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2014 GENERAL FUND BUDGET AND OTHERWISE PROVIDING WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: City Council

WHEREAS, the City Charter provides for the amendment of any previously adopted budget; and WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2014 budget for the General Fund to adjust appropriations, reflect current revenue estimates and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 120 of 2013, the 2014 budget for the General Fund, is hereby amended as follows:

In Section 2 (Appropriations):

City Council/Legislative

Decrease Personal Services by \$22,000

Decrease Contractual Services by \$1,000

SPAR

Increase Other Charges by \$23,000

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 120 of 2013 shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provision or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications; and, to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

ORDINANCE NO. 65 OF 2014

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE 2014 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS BUDGET AND TO OTHERWISE PROVIDE WITH RESPECT THERETO.

BY: COUNCILMAN S. JENKINS

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it necessary to amend the 2014 Capital Improvements Budget to shift project funding and for other purposes.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Shreveport, in legal session convened, that Ordinance No. 118 of 2013, the 2014 Capital Improvements Budget, be amended and re-enacted as follows:

In Program H (Airport Improvements):

This project established in Ordinance #60 of 2013 will increase the budget due to the receipt of additional State funds needed to upgrade the airfield lighting system. This upgrade will reduce the number of failures the system can experience, provide for energy usage reductions and reduce repair time. The budget for this project will be increased from \$1,100,000.00 to \$5,000,000.00 (100%) Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development

Adjust totals and subtotals accordingly.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the remainder of Ordinance No. 118 of

2013, as amended, shall remain unchanged and in full force and effect.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that if any provisions or item of this ordinance or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions, items or applications of this ordinance which can be given effect without the invalid provisions, items or applications, and to this end, the provisions of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that all ordinances or parts thereof in conflict hereby are hereby repealed.

Tabled Legislation.

1. **Ordinance No. 170 of 2012:** Amending and reenacting portions of Chapter 90 of the Code of Ordinances relative to Residential Parking Permit Zones, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto (*Tabled December 11, 2012*)
2. **Ordinance No. 90 of 2013:** Amending and reenacting Section 102-47 of the Code of Ordinances relative to insurance required for Vehicles for Hire and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (F/Shyne) (*Tabled August 27, 2013*)
3. **Ordinance No. 12 of 2014:** Changing the name of Steeple Chase Plaza Drive to Gregory Kirby Plaza Drive, and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (G/S. Jenkins)
4. **Ordinance No. 19 of 2014:** Amending the 2014 Water and Sewerage Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
5. **Ordinance No. 20 of 2014:** Amending the 2014 Community Development Special Revenue Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
6. **Ordinance No. 21 of 2014:** Amending the 2014 Airport Enterprise Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
7. **Ordinance No. 22 of 2014:** Amending the 2014 Golf Enterprise Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
8. **Ordinance No. 24 of 2014:** Amending the 2014 General Fund Budget, appropriating the funds authorized therein, and otherwise providing with respect thereto.
9. **Resolution No. 52 of 2014:** A resolution amending the Pay Plan for General Classified Employees to provide a one-time lump sum pay increase and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (E/Webb)
10. **Ordinance No. 36 of 2014:** An ordinance amending and re-enacting Article IV of Chapter 30 of the Code of Ordinances relative to fireworks and to otherwise provide with respect thereto. (C/O. Jenkins) (*Tabled June 10, 2014*)

Appeals

Property Standards Appeals

- PSD1000086** 2837 Logan Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Ms. Carolyn Ivory Wilson, 3646 Del Rio Street, Shreveport, LA 71109 (G/Jenkins) *(Postponed May 23, 2014 until September 22, 2014)*
- PSD1000141** 728 Austin Pl, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Ms. Deborah Bryant, 9640 Tammy, Shreveport, LA 71106 (Caddo Parish) *(Postponed March 24, 2014 until August 25, 2014)*
- PSD1300218** 360 E. 68th Street, Shreveport, LA (C/O. Jenkins) Mr. Daniel Southern, 368 E. 68th Street, Shreveport, LA 71106 (C/O. Jenkins) *(Postponed May 23, 2014 until July 7, 2014)*
- PSD1300137** 1447 Hollywood Avenue, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) Ms. Mary Dickens, 6004 Fox Ridge, Shreveport, LA 71129 (G/S. Jenkins) *(Postponed May 23, 2014 until July 7, 2014)*
- PSD1300253** 3640 Del Rio Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Terry Williams, 900 Whispering Lake Drive, Shreveport, LA 71107 *(Postponed June 9, 2014 until August 11, 2014)*
- PSG1300703** 3640 Del Rio Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Terry Williams, 900 Whispering Lake Drive, Shreveport, LA 71107 *(Postponed June 9, 2014 until August 11, 2014)*
- PSD1300207** 1622 Creswell Avenue, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Mr. John C. Smith, 224 Cane Bend Drive, Haughton, LA 71037 *(Postponed April 7, 2014 until October 13, 2014)*
- PSD1300040** 600 W. 73rd Street, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) Mr. James McReynolds 135 Mahaffey Road, Princeton, LA 71067 *(Postponed June 9, 2014 until July 7, 2014)*
- PSG1400174** Vacant lot north of 615 W. 70th Street, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) Ms. Lola Armstrong, 315 Camp Zion, Haughton, LA 71037 *(Postponed May 12, 2014 until July 7, 2014)*
- PSD1400022** 2806 W. Maple Street, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) Mr. Gary Sweatt, P.O. Box 3212, Shreveport, LA *(Postponed May 23, 2014 until July 21, 2014)*
- PSD1300250** 3413 Palm Road, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) Mr. Herman Pickens, 3812 Scenic Drive, Shreveport, LA 71119 (F/Shyne) *NEW*
- PSG1400005** 2745 Essex Street, Shreveport, LA (F/Shyne) Mr. Mohammed Dehmane, 5754 Ferrell Drive, Shreveport, LA 71129 (G/S. Jenkins) *NEW*
- PSD1400061** 1942 Nicholson, Shreveport, LA (B/Everson) Ms. Shaunda Gafford, 8200 Wild Briar Drive, Apt 809, Shreveport, LA 71108 (E/Webb) *NEW*
- PSD1300307** 2420 Jennie Lane, Shreveport, LA (A/McCulloch) Ms. Mary Wilson, 4111 Metro Drive, Shreveport, LA (G/S. Jenkins) *NEW*

Alcoholic Beverage Ordinance Appeals

Denial of application of Elias Sifuentes for Alcohol Permit for *Nicky's Mexican Restaurants*, 6721 Klug Pines Road, Shreveport, LA 71129 (G/S. Jenkins)

Denial of application of Manjit Waras for Alcohol Permit for *Now Save #12*, 404 Bert Kouns, Shreveport, LA 71106 (D/Corbin)

Metropolitan Planning Commission Appeals and Zoning Board of Appeals

BAC-36-14 – South side of E. Kings Hwy, 350 feet west of Youree Drive, Shreveport, LA (C/O. Jenkins) (*Continuance has been requested*)

Councilman O. Jenkins: Quick request BAC-36-14 for next week's agenda, can we make sure we have the postponement date attached to that one. I mean I know we did it yesterday and I'm not saying - - -

Mr. Thompson: Yes, until July 22, 2014

Councilman O. Jenkins: Just so that for anybody that looks at it, it's incorporated.

Councilman Shyne: Is that the one that - - -

Councilman O. Jenkins: There will be two that day.

Councilman Shyne: Okay. Mr. Gregg Morrison is - - -

Councilman Everson: I don't think that's the same one.

Mr. Thompson: BAC-36-14 and BAC-44-14, will be heard on July 22, 2014.

Councilman Shyne: Gregg, I just wanted to make sure that we didn't overlook you. It's very seldom we get you to come down to the Council. I know you're very busy, but I just want you to come down to see Oliver Jenkins at work.

BAC-44-14 – 4200 Block of Clingman Drive & SE Atlantic Street and Finley Drive, Shreveport, LA, Mr. Durr, Broadmoor Baptist Church, Minister of Business Administration, 4110 Youree Drive, Shreveport, LA 71105 (C/O. Jenkins) (*Postponed June 10, 2014 until July 22, 2014*)

Other Appeals

Taxi Appeal: None.

SOB Appeal: None.

Reports from officers, boards, and committees

Councilman Everson: I'll just briefly mention in the next - - - possibly the next City Council Meeting, we may invite the Historic Preservation Commission to come and give a report, but then we're really moving forward with a lot of the goals that they've set out and accomplishing quite a bit. Very proud of the work that they've done. One of their primary goals was to get the city designated as a Certified Local Government. This is a designation that given by the Department of the Interior that recognizes that your local ordinances are in line with State and Federal policies regarding Historic Preservation. And Shreveport for many years has been the largest city in the state that does not have this designation. Once you have the designation, it allows you to be eligible for funding from private foundations and the federal government. So it is something that could really be a valuable tool for our community. And in bit of initial good news, I'll say that it has to clear the state, and then it gets cleared by the federal government. The

application has cleared the state, and was very well received. And should be headed towards the federal government now and we may have a response from them before the end of December. So great work on that front and they're doing a lot of other good work as well. So, we may invite them here at the next meeting to get and update.

Councilman Shyne: Thank you. Any more correspondence from Boards or Committees? If not, Mr. Thompson:

Clerk's Report

Received from J. Whitney Pesnell a request, dated June 17, 2014, for the City Council to rehear and reconsider its decision in the following matter: Application for approval of the subdivision of Unit 6 of Esplanade Subdivision by Larkin Development At Railsback, L.L.C, Case Number SC-13-14

Request for continuance - BAC-36-14 – South side of E. Kings Hwy, 350 feet west of Youree Drive, Shreveport, LA (C/O. Jenkins)

PSD1300319 3040 Skelly Street, Shreveport, LA (A/McCulloch) Mr. Thadious L. Hawkins, 650 Kingridge Place, Shreveport, LA 71108 (*Reconsideration: Decision rendered June 9, 2014*)

Mr. Thompson: All of the items under Clerk's Report were discussed and/or taken care of yesterday and today.

The Committee "rises and reports" (reconvenes the regular council meeting)

Adjournment: There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at approximately 6:48 p.m.

//s// Joe Shyne, Chairman

//s// Arthur G. Thompson, Clerk of Council